

BOSON RANDOM POINT PROCESSES AND CONDENSATION

V. A. Zagrebnov

Université de la Méditerranée (Aix-Marseille II)
and Centre de Physique Théorique, Marseille, France

This is a short survey of the Boson Random Point Processes method and its application to the mean-field interacting boson gas trapped by a *weak* harmonic potential.

PACS: 67.85.Hj; 67.85.Jk

1. INTRODUCTION: RANDOM POINT PROCESSES

We start by recall of some notations and definitions that we need to formulate our results. For details the reader may consult, for example, the book [1].

(a) Let E be a locally compact metric space serving as a *state space* of **points**, \mathfrak{B} the Borel σ -algebra, $\mathfrak{B}_o \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ (relatively) compact Borel sets. Let ν be a (*diffusive*) locally finite reference measure on (E, \mathfrak{B}) . The standard example: ν is the Lebesgue measure and $E = \mathbb{R}^d$.

(b) The space of the locally finite configurations of **points** in E is

$$Q(E) := \{\xi \subset E : \text{card}(\xi \cap \Lambda) < \infty \text{ for all } \Lambda \in \mathfrak{B}_o\}.$$

Then $Q(\Lambda) := \{\xi \in Q : \xi \subset \Lambda\}$ and the function: $N_\Lambda : \xi \mapsto \text{card}(\xi \cap \Lambda)$.

(c) Each $\xi \in Q$ can be **identified** with integer-valued nonnegative *Radon measure*: $\lambda_\xi := \sum_{x \in \xi} \delta_x$ on \mathfrak{B} , i.e., $\lambda_\xi(D) := N_D$ is the *number of points* that fall into the set D for the *locally finite* point configuration $\xi \in Q(D)$.

(c) **Definition:** A *random point process* (RPP) in a *locally compact* space E is a random probability Radon measure μ on the *configuration* space $Q(E)$, with expectation that for any *measurable* function is defined by

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu(F) := \int_{Q(E)} \mu(d\xi) F(\xi).$$

• For a *simple* random point process the measure μ assigns a.s.: $\mu(x) \leq 1$, for any single point $x \in Q(E)$.

• By $K(x, y)$ we denote a kernel of nonnegative, self-adjoint, *locally* Tr-class operator $K \geq 0$ on $L^2(\Lambda)$.

(d) **Example:** (The *Poisson* RPP π_η with intensity $\eta \geq 0$)

(1) For any set $D \subset E$ with finite Lebesgue measure $\nu(D)$, one puts

$$\mathbb{P}\{N_D = n\} = \int_{Q(E)} \pi_\eta(d\xi) \delta_{n, N_D(\xi)} = \frac{(\eta \nu(D))^n}{n!} e^{-\eta \nu(D)}.$$

(2) For *mutually disjoint* subsets $\{D_n \subset \Lambda\}_{n \geq 1}$ the *Poisson* RPP π_η is supposed to be **uncorrelated**:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_\eta}(\delta_{n_1, N_{D_1}}(\xi) \cdots \delta_{n_k, N_{D_k}}(\xi)) &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi_\eta}(\delta_{n_1, N_{D_1}}(\xi)) \cdots \mathbb{E}_{\pi_\eta}(\delta_{n_k, N_{D_k}}(\xi)) = \\ &= \frac{(\eta \nu(D_1))^{n_1}}{n_1!} e^{-\eta \nu(D_1)} \cdots \frac{(\eta \nu(D_k))^{n_k}}{n_k!} e^{-\eta \nu(D_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

(e) **Definition:** For any family of *mutually disjoint* subsets $\{D_n \subset \Lambda\}_{n \geq 1}$ the **correlation functions** (*joint intensities*) of the RPP μ are defined by the densities $\{\rho_n : \Lambda^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+^1\}_{n \geq 1}$ with respect to the measure ν :

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mathbb{I}_{|\xi \cap D_j|=1} \right) = \int_{D_1 \times \dots \times D_n} \nu(dx_1) \cdots \nu(dx_n) \rho_n(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

(f) **Definition:** An RPP is called **determinantal/permanental** with (a *locally* Tr-class) kernel K , if it is simple and its correlation functions are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \mathbf{det} \|K(x_i, x_j)\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \\ \rho_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \mathbf{per} \|K(x_i, x_j)\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $n \geq 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Lambda$, $\det_\alpha A := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{n-c(\sigma)} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{i\sigma(i)}$
 $\alpha = \pm 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{per}/\mathbf{det}$ and $c(\sigma)$ is the number of *cycles* in the permutation σ .

2. FERMION/BOSON RANDOM POINT PROCESSES

2.1. Quantum Statistical Mechanics: Fermions. Let $\mathfrak{H}_L := L^2(\Lambda_L)$, where $\Lambda_L = [-L/2, L/2]^d$ and $\Delta_{L,p}$ be Laplacian with *periodic* boundary conditions on $\partial\Lambda_L$, i.e.,

$$\text{spec}(-\Delta_{L,p}) = \{\varepsilon(k) = (2\pi/L)^2 \|k\|^2 : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}.$$

Then the Gibbs semigroup kernel for the inverse temperature β has the form

$$\begin{aligned} (G_{\beta,L})(x,y) &:= (e^{\beta\Delta_L})(x,y) = \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-\beta\varepsilon(k)} \phi_{k,L}(x) \overline{\phi_{k,L}(y)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (G_{\beta})(x,y+kL), \end{aligned}$$

where the «heat» semigroup kernel is

$$(G_{\beta})(x,y) := \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} (G_{\beta,L})(x,y) = (4\pi\beta)^{-d/2} \exp - \left(\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{4\beta} \right).$$

Remark: It is known that any n -particle free-fermion wave function is the *Slater determinant*:

$$\Psi_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \det \|\phi_{k_i, L}(x_j)\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

The corresponding n -point free-fermion joint *probability distribution density*:
 $p_{n,L}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := |\Psi_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^2$, or

$$p_{n,L}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \det \|\phi_{k_i, L}(x_j)\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \overline{\det \|\phi_{k_i, L}(x_j)\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}}.$$

Since $\det A \det B = \det AB$, one gets

$$p_{n,L}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \det \|K_{n,L}(x_i, x_j)\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},$$

where $K_{n,L}(x,y) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \phi_{k_i, L}(x) \overline{\phi_{k_i, L}(y)}$ is the kernel of orthogonal projection on the $\text{Env}\{\phi_{k_1, L}, \dots, \phi_{k_n, L}\}$.

Since the k -point *marginal* correlation functions are

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n,L}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &:= \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \int p_{n,L}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_{k+1}, \dots, dx_n = \\ &= \det \|K_{n,L}(x_i, x_j)\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}, \end{aligned}$$

the *determinantal RPP* $\mu_{n,L}^F$ generated by the joint probability distribution density $p_{n,L}$ is correctly defined for n free fermions in the cube Λ_L .

Canonical Ensemble: Probability density distribution of n free-fermion positions in the cube Λ_L

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n,L}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \beta) &:= Z_{\Lambda, F}^{-1}(\beta, n) \times \\ &\times \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)} \overline{\Psi_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n G_{\beta, L} \Psi_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \right) (x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition [2]: Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \xi := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \delta_{x_j} \in Q(\Lambda_L)$. Then $p_{n,L}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \beta)$ induces a *determinantal RPP* $\mu_{\beta,n,L}^F$ with matrix

$$K_{\beta,n,L}(x_i, x_j) := (G_{\beta,L})(x_i, x_j),$$

i.e., a probability measure $d\mu_{\beta,n,L}^F(\xi)$ on the configuration space $Q(\Lambda_L)$.

Laplace Transformation: Let $\langle \xi, f \rangle := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} f(x_j)$, where *nonnegative* $f \in C_0(\Lambda_L)$. Then for $\tilde{G}_{\beta,L} := \sqrt{G_{\beta,L}} e^{-f} \sqrt{G_{\beta,L}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\beta,n,L}(e^{-\langle \xi, f \rangle}) &:= \int_{Q(\Lambda_L)} d\mu_{\beta,n,L}^F(\xi) e^{-\langle \xi, f \rangle} = \\ &= \int_{\Lambda_L^n} dx_1 \dots dx_n p_{n,L}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \beta) \exp \left\{ - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} f(x_j) \right\} = \\ &= \int_{\Lambda_L^n} dx_1 \dots dx_n \det \|(\tilde{G}_{\beta,L})(x_i, x_j)\| / \int_{\Lambda_L^n} dx_1 \dots dx_n \det \|(G_{\beta,L})(x_i, x_j)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Example: For the Poisson RPP, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q(\Lambda)} d\pi_\eta(\xi) e^{-\langle \xi, f \rangle} &= \int_{Q(\Lambda)} d\pi_\eta(\xi) \exp \left[- \sum_{x \in \xi} f(x) \right] = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_\eta} \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mathbb{I}_{|\xi \cap dx_j|=1} \right) \exp \left[- \sum_{x_j} f(x_j) \right] = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\Lambda^n} \nu(dx_1) \dots \nu(dx_n) \eta^n \exp \left[- \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} f(x_j) \right] = \\ &= \exp \left[- \int_{\Lambda} dx \eta (1 - e^{-f(x)}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thermodynamic Limit [2]: For $n/L^d \rightarrow \rho$ a weak limit of the RPP: $w - \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\beta,n,L}^F = \mu_{\beta,\rho}^F$, exists and

$$\int_{Q(\mathbb{R}^d)} d\mu_{\beta,\rho}^F(\xi) e^{-\langle \xi, f \rangle} = \text{Det} \left[I - \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} z_* G_\beta (I + z_* G_\beta)^{-1} \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} \right],$$

$$\rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{z_* e^{-\beta \|q\|^2}}{1 + z_* e^{-\beta \|q\|^2}} = (z_* G_\beta (I + z_* G_\beta)^{-1})(x, x).$$

For a Tr-class integral operator J on $L^2(\Lambda, \nu)$, the **Fredholm determinant/permanent** is defined by the Vere-Jones formula [1]:

$$\text{Det}([I - \alpha J]^{-1/\alpha}) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Lambda^s} \nu^{\otimes s}(dx_1 \dots dx_n) \det_{\alpha} \|J(x_i, x_j)\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},$$

where $\det_{\alpha=\pm 1} = \text{per} / \det$.

2.2. Quantum Statistical Mechanics: Bosons

Grand-Canonical Ensemble: Probability density distribution of n free-boson positions in the cube Λ_L is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n,L}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \beta) &:= Z_{\Lambda, B}^{-1}(\beta, n) \times \\ &\times \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)} \overline{\Psi_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n G_{\beta, L} \Psi_{k_i, \dots, k_n} \right) (x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ \Psi_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n! \prod_i n(k_i)!}} \text{per} \|\phi_{k_i, L}(x_j)\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}. \end{aligned}$$

The boson RPP $d\mu_{\beta, n, L}^B(\xi)$ on the configuration space $Q(\Lambda_L)$ is implied by $p_{n,L}$. In the (*grand*-)canonical thermodynamic limit for particle densities $\rho < \rho_c(\beta)$ (or solutions $z_*(\beta, \rho) < 1$), where

$$\rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{z_* e^{-\beta \|q\|^2}}{1 - z_* e^{-\beta \|q\|^2}} = (z_* G_{\beta} (I - z_* G_{\beta})^{-1})(x, x) < \rho_c(\beta),$$

one obtains [3]

$$\int_{Q(\mathbb{R}^d)} d\mu_{\beta, \rho}^B(\xi) e^{-\langle \xi, f \rangle} = \text{Det} \left[I + \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} z_* G_{\beta} (I - z_* G_{\beta})^{-1} \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} \right]^{-1}.$$

Proposition [4]: For densities $\rho > \rho_c(\beta)$ we have $z_* = 1$ and

$$\int_{Q(\mathbb{R}^d)} d\mu_{\beta, \rho}^B(\xi) e^{-\langle \xi, f \rangle} = \frac{\exp[-(\rho - \rho_c(\beta))(\sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} [I + K_f]^{-1} \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}})]}{\text{Det} [I + K_f]},$$

where $K_f := \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} G_{\beta} (I - G_{\beta})^{-1} \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}}$ is from the Tr-class. Therefore, the free boson RPP for $\rho > \rho_c(\beta)$ is a convolution of the boson RPP at $z_* = 1$ and a boson process (see *numerator*) proportional to the condensate density: $\rho - \rho_c(\beta)$.

2.3. Grand-Canonical (β, μ) Free Bose Gas. (a) Consider *independent* random variables $k \mapsto N_k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $k \in \Lambda^*_L$, in the probability space $\Omega := \times_{k \in \Lambda^*_L} \Omega_k$.

(b) For *bosons* the one-mode random *occupation* numbers are $N_k \geq 0$, but for *fermions* they are $N_k = 0, 1$.

(c) *Probabilities* (N.B. for *bosons*: $\mu < 0$, since $\varepsilon_k = \|k\|^2 \geq 0$) are

$$\Pr_{\beta, \mu}(N_k) := \frac{e^{-\beta(\varepsilon_k - \mu)N_k}}{\Xi_k(\beta, \mu)}, \quad k \in \Lambda^*_L.$$

(d) *Expectations* are: $\mathbb{E}_{\beta, \mu}(N_k) = \{e^{\beta(\varepsilon_k - \mu)} - 1\}^{-1}$, for $k \in \Lambda^*_L$ and $z_* := e^{-\beta\mu}$.

(e) Expectation value of the *total* density of bosons in \mathbb{R}^d is

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{\Lambda_L}(\beta, \mu) := \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda_L|} \sum_{k \in \Lambda^*} \mathbb{E}_{\beta, \mu}(N_k) = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_d(E)}{e^{\beta(E - \mu)} - 1}.$$

3. BOSONS IN A WEAK HARMONIC TRAP

3.1. Weak Harmonic Trap [5]. One-particle Hamiltonian of the harmonic oscillator

$$h_\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{x_j^2}{\kappa^2} - \frac{1}{\kappa} \right)$$

is a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with

$$\text{Spec}(h_\kappa) = \{\varepsilon_\kappa(s) := |s|_1/\kappa \mid s = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d\}, \quad |s|_1 := \sum_{j=1}^d s_j.$$

In this setup the «thermodynamic limit» is an «opening» of the trap, i.e., $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$, called the Weak Harmonic Trap (WHT) limit.

Perfect Bose-gas expectation value of the *total* number of particles is

$$N_\kappa(\beta, \mu) = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial \ln \Xi_{0, \kappa}(\beta, \mu)}{\partial \mu} = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\varepsilon_\kappa(s) - \mu)} - 1}.$$

Since $N_\kappa(\beta, \mu)$ diverges for $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ as κ^d , the scaled particle density is defined by

$$\rho_\kappa(\beta, \mu) := \frac{1}{\kappa^d} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(\varepsilon_\kappa(s) - \mu)} - 1},$$

$$\rho(\beta, \mu) = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \rho_\kappa(\beta, \mu) = \int_{[0, \infty)^d} \frac{dp}{e^{\beta(|p|_1 - \mu)} - 1} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\beta \mu s}}{(\beta s)^d}.$$

Notice that the *Integrated Density of States* ($\mathcal{N}_d(E)$) and the *critical density* $\rho_c(\beta)$ are related by the limit of the measure:

$$\mathcal{N}_{d, \kappa}(E) = \frac{1}{\kappa^d} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}^d} \theta(E - |s|_1 / \kappa).$$

Then we obtain in the $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ limit

$$d\mathcal{N}_d(E) = \frac{E^{d-1}}{\Gamma(d)} dE \neq \frac{E^{(d-2)/2}}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} dE = d\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_d(E),$$

$$\rho_c(\beta) := \zeta(d) / \beta^d \neq \zeta(d/2) / (2\pi\beta)^{d/2} =: \tilde{\rho}_c(\beta).$$

3.2. Mean-Field Interaction and Main Results. A model of the mean-field interacting bosons trapped by the harmonic potential is defined by the *grand-canonical* partition function

$$\Xi_{\lambda, \kappa}(\beta, \mu) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{\beta(\mu n - \lambda n^2 / 2\kappa^d)} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{H}_{\text{symm}}^n} [\otimes^n G_\kappa(\beta)],$$

where $G_\kappa(\beta) = e^{-\beta h_\kappa}$ is the Gibbs semigroup for the oscillator process. Here $\beta > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^1$.

Theorem [5]: Normal phase. Let $\mu < \mu_{\lambda, c}(\beta) := \lambda \rho_c(\beta)$. Then the boson RPP $\mu_{\kappa, \beta, \mu}$ converges weakly in the WHT limit $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ to the RPP μ_{β, r_*} with the Laplace transformation:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\beta, r_*} [e^{-\langle f, \xi \rangle}] = \text{Det} \left[1 + \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} r_* G_\beta (1 - r_* G_\beta)^{-1} \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} \right]^{-1},$$

where $r_* = r_*(\beta, \mu, \lambda) \in (0, 1)$ is a unique solution of the equation

$$\beta \mu = \ln r + \lambda \beta \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mathcal{N}_d(E)}{r^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1}, \quad r := e^{\beta(\mu - \lambda \rho)} < 1.$$

Theorem [5]: Condensed phase. For $\mu > \mu_{\lambda, c}(\beta) (= \lambda \rho_c(\beta))$ the Laplace transformation of the boson RPP measure has the following limit:

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\kappa^{d/2}} \ln \mathbb{E}_{\beta, \mu} [e^{-\langle f, \xi \rangle}] = -\frac{\mu - \mu_{\lambda, c}(\beta)}{\pi^{d/2} \lambda} (\sqrt{1 - e^{-f}}, (1 + K_f)^{-1} \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}}),$$

where the operator

$$K_f := \left(G_\beta^{1/2} (1 - G_\beta)^{-1/2} \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} \right)^* \left(G_\beta^{1/2} (1 - G_\beta)^{-1/2} \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} \right)$$

is a positive trace-class operator on $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $d > 2$.

Remark: Condensed phase. Similar to the homogeneous free Bose gas the resulting RPP is a *convolution* of **two** Bose RPP [5].

Local Particle Density.

Corollary: Normal phase. Let $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f \geq 0$. For $\mu < \mu_{\lambda,c}(\beta)$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\beta,r_*}[\langle f, \xi \rangle] = \text{Tr} [f r_* G(\beta) (1 - r_* G(\beta))^{-1}] = \rho_{r_*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx f(x),$$

where the local density ρ_{r_*} in the neighbourhood of the bottom of the WHT potential is given by

$$\rho_{r_*} = r_* G(\beta) (1 - r_* G(\beta))^{-1}(x, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_*^n}{(2\pi\beta n)^{d/2}}.$$

Corollary: Condensed phase. For $\mu > \mu_{\lambda,c}(\beta)$ one obtains

$$\liminf_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\kappa,\beta,\mu,\lambda}[\langle f, \xi \rangle]}{\kappa^{d/2}} \geq \frac{\mu - \mu_{\lambda,c}(\beta)}{\pi^{d/2}\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx f(x).$$

3.4. Global Particle Density. The results of the Theorem and Corollary in the *noncondensed* regime has the following interpretation: in the WHT limit the position distribution of the MF interacting bosons in the neighbourhood of the **origin** of coordinates (i.e., at the bottom of the WHT potential) is close to that for the free BG corresponding to a substitution of the *unconventional* parameter r_* by the conventional z_* . The information about the particle position distribution in domains distant from the bottom of the WHT is missing in the limit μ_{β,r_*} since the test function f has a finite support.

In order to take this «tail»-particles into account, we have to use for our model the standard definition of the grand-canonical global number of particles:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\kappa,\lambda}^{(\text{tot})}(\beta, \mu) &:= \frac{1}{\kappa^d \beta} \frac{\partial \ln \Xi_\kappa(\beta, \mu)}{\partial \mu} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa^d \Xi_{\kappa,\lambda}(\beta, \mu)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n e^{\beta(\mu n - \lambda n^2 / 2\kappa^d)} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{H}_{\text{symm}}^n} [\otimes^n G_\kappa(\beta)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since κ^d is interpreted as the effective volume of the model, the function $\rho_{\kappa,\lambda}^{(\text{tot})}(\beta, \mu)$ represents an effective total space-averaged density of the nonhomogeneous boson gas.

Theorem [5]: *Global density = experiment.* In the WHT limit

$$\rho_{\lambda}^{(\text{tot})}(\beta, \mu) = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{\kappa,\lambda}^{(\text{tot})}(\beta, \mu) = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \kappa^{-d} \text{Tr} [r_* G_{\kappa} (1 - r_* G_{\kappa})^{-1}]$$

exists and satisfies the following properties:

(i) for $\mu \leq \mu_{\lambda,c}(\beta)$ one has

$$\rho_{\lambda}^{(\text{tot})}(\beta, \mu) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d\mathcal{N}_d(E)}{r_*^{-1} e^{\beta E} - 1} \text{ and } \beta\mu = \log r_* + \lambda\beta\rho_{\lambda}^{(\text{tot})}(\beta, \mu);$$

(ii) for $\mu > \mu_{\lambda,c}(\beta)$: $(\rho_c^{(\text{tot})}(\beta)) := \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \mu_c(\beta)} \rho_{\lambda}^{(\text{tot})}(\beta, \mu) = \zeta(d)/\beta^d$

$$\rho_{\lambda}^{(\text{tot})}(\beta, \mu) = \frac{\mu}{\lambda} = \frac{\mu - \mu_{\lambda,c}(\beta)}{\lambda} + \rho_c^{(\text{tot})}(\beta).$$

3.5. Conclusion: Bosons in a Weak Harmonic Trap. Different behaviour of the space distributions of bosons described in the Theorems above has the following explanation:

In the *normal case* the bosons are distributed almost uniformly in the region of radius κ according to the shape of the oscillator process kernel.

On the other hand, in the *condensed phase case* the condensed part of particles $\kappa^d(\rho_{\lambda}^{(\text{tot})}(\beta, \mu) - \rho_{\lambda,c}^{(\text{tot})}(\beta)) = \kappa^d(\mu - \mu_{\lambda,c}(\beta))/\lambda$ is localized in the region of radius $O(\kappa^{1/2})$ according to profile of the square of the ground-state wave function

$$\Omega_{\kappa}(x) = \frac{1}{(\pi\kappa)^{d/4}} e^{-\|x\|^2/2\kappa} \equiv \phi_{s=0, \kappa}(x).$$

Whereas the particles outside of the condensate are spread out essentially over the region of radius κ .

4. LDP FOR NONINTERACTING BRPP

In this section we consider the limiting theorems: Law of Large Numbers (LLN), Central Limit Theorem (CLT) and Large Deviation Principle (LDP), for the free Bose gas [6].

4.1. Noninteracting BRPP with BEC. Proposition [4]: For continuous $f \geq 0$ with a compact support we define two BRPP by generating functionals:

$$\int_{Q(\mathbb{R}^d)} d\mu_{K,z}^{(\det)}(\xi) e^{-\langle f, \xi \rangle} = \det[1 + K_f(z)]^{-1}, \quad z = e^{\beta\mu} \leq 1,$$

$$\int_{Q(\mathbb{R}^d)} d\mu_{K,\rho}(\xi) e^{-\langle f, \xi \rangle} = \exp \left[-\rho \left(\sqrt{1 - e^{-f}}, \frac{1}{1 + K_f(1)} \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} \right) \right],$$

where $K_f(z) := \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}} z G_\beta (1 + z G_\beta)^{-1} \sqrt{1 - e^{-f}}$ and $G_\beta := e^{\beta\Delta}$. Then the BRPP for the ideal gas is $\mu_{K,\rho \leq \rho_c}^B = \mu_{K,z \leq 1}^{(\det)}$, but in the regime of BEC ($\rho > \rho_c$) it is convolution of the two Random Point Processes:

$$\mu_{K,\rho > \rho_c}^B := \mu_{K,z=1}^{(\det)} * \mu_{K,\rho = \rho - \rho_c} = (\text{non-condensate}) * (\text{condensate}).$$

Theorem (LLN) [6]: For continuous function $f \geq 0$ with a compact support, the limit

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\kappa^d} \langle f(\cdot/\kappa), \xi \rangle = \rho \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx f(x)$$

holds in $L^2(Q(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu_{K,\rho}^B)$.

Theorem (CLT) [6]: Let $\rho > \rho_c$. Then for $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ the family of random variables

$$X_\kappa := \frac{\langle f(\cdot/\kappa), \xi \rangle - \rho \kappa^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx}{\sqrt{2(\rho - \rho_c)} \|(-\beta\Delta)^{-1/2} f\|_{HS \kappa^{(d+2)/2}}}$$

converges in distribution to the standard Gaussian random variable:

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q(\mathbb{R}^d)} d\mu_{K,\rho > \rho_c}^B(\xi) e^{itX_\kappa} = e^{-t^2/2}.$$

Large Deviation Principle in the BEC Regime.

Theorem (LDP) [6]: For $\rho > \rho_c$ there exists a convex rate function $I(s) := \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} (st - P(t))$, such that

$$\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\kappa^{d-2}} \log \mu_{K,\rho}^B \left(\frac{1}{\kappa^d} \langle f(\cdot/\kappa), \xi \rangle \in F \right) \leq - \inf_{s \in F} I(s), \text{ for closed } F \subset \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\liminf_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\kappa^{d-2}} \log \mu_{K,\rho}^B \left(\frac{1}{\kappa^d} \langle f(\cdot/\kappa), \xi \rangle \in G \right) \geq - \inf_{s \in G} I(s), \text{ for open } G \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(t) &:= \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\kappa^{d-2}} \log \int_{Q(\mathbb{R}^d)} d\mu_{K,\rho}^B(\xi) e^{t\langle f(\cdot/\kappa), \xi \rangle / \kappa^2} = P_{K,z=1}^{(\det)}(t) + P_{K,\rho-\rho_c}(t) = \\
 &= \begin{cases} t\rho_c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx + (\rho - \rho_c)t^2 \langle f, (-\beta\Delta - tf)^{-1}f \rangle, & t < \|\sqrt{f}(-\beta\Delta)^{-1}\sqrt{f}\|^{-1}, \\
 +\infty, & t \geq \|\sqrt{f}(-\beta\Delta)^{-1}\sqrt{f}\|^{-1}. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

4.3. Conclusion: BEC versus the Normal Phase. Let $D_\kappa := \langle f(\cdot/\kappa), \xi \rangle / \kappa^d$ be a random empirical density of particles localized in the region of the length scale κ .

For the BEC case $\rho > \rho_c$:

(i) The random variable D_κ converges for $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ to its expectation value $m := \rho \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) dx$ in mean.

(ii) The law of the random variable $\kappa^{(d-2)/2}(D_\kappa - m)$ converges to the normal distribution as $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$.

(iii) The law of the random variable D_κ manifests a Large Deviation Property with the parameter κ^{d-2} .

For the normal phase $\rho \leq \rho_c$:

(i) also holds;

(ii) holds but for $\kappa^{d/2}(D_\kappa - m)$, instead of $\kappa^{(d-2)/2}(D_\kappa - m)$;

(iii) holds with the order κ^d , instead of κ^{d-2} .

Acknowledgements. This paper is a record of a hidden part of my talk given at the International Bogoliubov Conference «Problems of Theoretical and Mathematical Physics» (Moscow–Dubna, August 21–27, 2009) dedicated to the 100th anniversary of N. N. Bogoliubov’s birth. I would like to express my thanks to organizers, and especially to Prof. N. M. Plakida, for invitation to give this talk and for their warm hospitality. I am grateful to Dr. E. Kolganova for assistance and efficient help.

REFERENCES

1. Daley D. J., Vere-Jones D. An Introduction to the Theory of Point Processes. Berlin: Springer, 1988.
2. Shirai T., Takahashi Y. // J. Func. Anal. 2003. V. 205. P. 414.
3. Tamura H., Ito K. R. // Commun. Math. Phys. 2006. V. 263. P. 353.
4. Tamura H., Ito K. R. // J. Func. Anal. 2007. V. 243. P. 207.
5. Tamura H., Zagrebnov V. A. // J. Math. Phys. 2009. V. 50. P. 023301.
6. Tamura H., Zagrebnov V. A. // J. Math. Phys. 2010. V. 51 (2).