

ISOLATED NEUTRON STARS AND STUDIES OF THEIR INTERIORS

*S. B. Popov*¹

Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Moscow

In these lectures presented at the Baikal Summer School on Physics of Elementary Particles and Astrophysics 2011, a wide view of neutron star astrophysics is presented. Special attention is paid to young isolated compact objects and studies of the properties of their interiors using astronomical methods.

В этих лекциях, прочитанных на Байкальской летней школе по физике элементарных частиц и астрофизике в 2011 г., дается обзор современных данных по астрофизике нейтронных звезд. Более детально рассмотрены молодые одиночные компактные объекты и изучение их недр с использованием астрономических методов.

PACS: 97.60.Jd; 26.60.-c; 97.80.Jp

INTRODUCTION

Astronomical objects and phenomena became the subject of interest for physicists since the Universe serves as a lab with many possibilities to study physical processes under conditions unavailable on the Earth. Among others, neutron stars (NSs) provide a unique opportunity to study several extreme phenomena in a single type of sources. «Wild» properties of NSs include:

- Ultrastrong magnetic fields, sometimes orders of magnitude above the Schwinger value ($B_{\text{Sh}} = m^2 c^3 / e(\hbar/2\pi) \sim 4.4 \cdot 10^{13}$ G).
- The strongest surface gravity among all known objects: $GM/R \sim 0.2c^2$.
- Central density up to an order of magnitude above the nuclear one.
- Superfluidity of neutrons and protons.

These properties bring NSs to attention of specialists working in such different fields as quantum chromodynamics, theory of gravity, quantum electrodynamics, solid and condensed-state physics.

In these lectures I briefly review different subjects, mainly related to phenomenology of NSs. Special attention is paid to recent discoveries related to young isolated NSs. Then, I discuss how different astrophysical approaches (measurements of global parameters — mass and radius — and studies of cooling behaviour) help to uncover properties of NSs interiors. However, we start with some rapid excursion into the history of NS exploration which is now a quite mature subject.

¹E-mail: polar@sai.msu.ru

History of Neutron Star Studies. Traditionally, the history of NSs is tracked back to the paper by Landau published in 1932 [1]. Indeed, several important points have been proposed in this seminal note, however, it would be overoptimistic to expect more insights on NSs, even from a genius, before the discovery of a neutron! (See an important discussion on controversies related to the legend of NS prediction by Landau in the introductory part of the book [2].) So, real story of NS exploration could be begun from the papers by Baade and Zwicky, all published in 1934, especially important is [3]. In this, it was correctly predicted that NSs are formed in supernova (SN) explosions, consist mainly of neutrons, and are very compact and dense.

In the following thirty years appeared several important papers, related to internal properties of NSs. At first, one should mention the construction of the main equation for the description of internal structure of compact objects taking into account general relativistic effects. Now this formula is known as Tolman–Oppenheimer–Volkoff equation [4,5]. In the late 1950s and in the 1960s different researchers started to work on realistic models for the equation of state (EoS) of dense matter. However, significant progress was obtained later.

In the 1960s several very important results were obtained in the Soviet Union. To name just a few of them. In 1959, Migdal [6] noted that superfluidity is possible inside NSs. Ginzburg in 1964 [7] suggested that flux conservation in collapsing stars can lead to formation of highly-magnetized compact objects, and Kardashev, also in 1964 [8], proposed that such a collapsar with high field and rapid rotation can power a nebula formed after the SN explosion.

Progress in understanding the internal properties of NSs made possible a discussion of the thermal evolution of these objects. First important papers on the subject appeared in the mid-1960s [9–11]. Then, the world was ready to discover NSs.

Curiously, in the mid-1960s NSs were already discovered, but could not be recognized, yet. Not to mention the fact that the Crab pulsar potentially could be identified in old images of the nebula both in optics and in radio, NSs have been discovered as accreting X-ray sources in binaries, namely as Sco X-1 source [12]. Unfortunately, the first bright X-ray sources detected by rocket experiments were not pulsating. So, it was nontrivial to prove the presence of NSs in them. Thus, NSs were finally serendipitously discovered as radio pulsars [13], unrelated to a prediction by Pacini [14] that magnetized rapidly rotating NSs can emit electromagnetic waves.

The history of NS studies is well described in many places, for example, in [2].

1. ASTROPHYSICAL MANIFESTATIONS OF NEUTRON STARS

During the last ~ 40 years our knowledge about NSs increased a lot thanks to rapid development of observational technique. Now these sources are studied in all electromagnetic bands, and we hope for registration of gravitational wave signals from coalescing NSs in the near future.

Still, radio pulsars form the most numerous population of NSs¹. And accreting NSs in X-ray binaries hold the second place in the list of the most numerous. However, results of the last ~ 15 years demonstrated that NSs can appear in many flavours. In the following,

¹See the online ATNF catalogue [16].

I shall give a sketch of the modern data on young isolated NSs, which shows great diversity in parameters and appearances.

A NS can emit due to several different sources of energy. The first one is rotation. NSs can spin as fast as 1 ms. With the moment of inertia $I \sim 10^{45} \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^2$ this corresponds to $E_{\text{rot}} \sim 10^{53} \text{ erg}$. With a solar luminosity $L_{\odot} = 2 \cdot 10^{33} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ this is enough for 10^{12} y , larger than the age of the Universe $\sim 13\text{--}14$ billion years (but, of course, energy is never released in a uniform way). NSs can spin rapidly due to two reasons. At first, most of them are born with short spin periods due to significant contraction of initially rotating core. Then, a NS can be spun up in a close binary system by accretion, as the incoming matter brings angular momentum due to orbital rotation. Radio pulsars are examples of NSs emitting their rotational energy. The so-called «normal» pulsars are young objects, and millisecond pulsars are objects «recycled» in interacting binaries.

The second source of energy is accretion. As a NS is very compact, falling of 1 g of matter on its surface results in release of $\sim 10^{20}$ ergs — more efficient than thermonuclear reactions! Matter can come from a companion in a binary system, or from a debris disc, or from interstellar medium.

Then comes the residual heat. NSs are born in SN explosions, and have very high initial temperature, about 10 MeV. The most part of this energy is quickly carried out by neutrinos, and at the age of $\sim 1\text{--}10 \text{ y}$ the temperature of the bulk of a NS is $\sim 10^9 \text{ K}$. Thermal energy stored in a NS can reach $E_{\text{therm}} \sim 10^{48} T_9^2 \text{ erg}$, here $T_9 = T/10^9 \text{ K}$. This is enough to power a relatively bright source for several million years. The main part of a NS is isothermal with temperature during the first hundred thousands years $\sim 10^8 \text{ K}$. But the external layers work as a heat insulator, so the surface temperature is lower, $\sim 10^6 \text{ K}$. Without detailed calculation it is easy to estimate that a 10 km sphere with such a temperature has a luminosity $L \sim 10^{32} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. More detailed data will be given below. Now tens of young NSs are observed due to their thermal residual emission.

What remains as an energy source? Some heat can be released due to friction in NS interiors. Some can result from cracking of the crust due to sudden stress relaxation. But all this cannot contribute a lot to the total budget. However, there is one important source — the magnetic field.

NS magnetic fields can be very high (maybe for somebody it is easier to think about strong currents in NS interiors, and so about release of their energy). A NS cannot support fields above $\sim 10^{19} \text{ G}$. And such huge values, probably, are never reached. But fields $\sim 10^{15} \text{ G}$ seem to be realistic. Then, the energy is $E_{\text{mag}} \sim (B^2/8\pi)(4/3\pi R^3) \sim B_{15}^2 \cdot 10^{47} \text{ erg}$, here $B_{15} = B/10^{15} \text{ G}$. Sources which predominantly release their magnetic energy are called magnetars.

Now we briefly describe properties of several main types of known NSs. Most of the discussed objects are observed as X-ray sources, and I recommend [15] as a recent review on X-ray observations of young isolated NSs.

1.1. Radio Pulsars. Despite their name, these objects are observed in all wavelengths. About 1600 «normal» and 300 «recycled» radio pulsars are known [16]. Mostly, they have been discovered in surveys of the galactic plane in the radio waveband, and then many of them were identified in other spectral ranges. Several sources, including the famous Crab pulsar, are detected as pulsating sources from gamma to radio. Recently, the situation changed, and many sources have been found by the Fermi Gamma-Ray Observatory [17]. Later, radio counterparts were spotted for some of them, but not for all, which is due to the geometrical

effects (gamma-ray beam is often wider than the radio one, and they do not exactly coincide in direction in many cases).

Sources have spin periods in the range 0.001–10 s (Fig. 1). This parameter decreases as the sources evolve due to losses of the rotational energy. Only a tiny part of rotational energy is emitted in radio. Mostly, the energy is carried away by relativistic particle wind. The exact mechanism of energy losses and pulsar emission is still under debate [18]. However, a good approximation for the spin-down rate is given by the so-called magneto-dipole formula:

$$\dot{P} = \frac{8\pi^2}{3Ic^3} \frac{B^2 R^6}{P} \sin^2 \chi. \quad (1)$$

Here P is the spin period; B — equatorial magnetic field; I — moment of inertia; R — radius of a NS; χ is the angle between spin and magnetic axes.




Fig. 1. $P-\dot{P}$ (spin period–period derivative) diagram for radio pulsars and other sources. From [33]

The rotation energy losses in this approximation are

$$L_{\text{rot}} = \frac{2(2\pi)^4 B^2 R^6}{3c^3 P^4} \propto \frac{\dot{P}}{P^3}. \quad (2)$$

These equations are typically used to estimate parameters of radio pulsars using known P and \dot{P} .

Recent review on radio pulsars can be found in [18].

1.2. Rotating Radio Transients. Progress in radio astronomy and data mining allowed detection of peculiar sources. In the radio waveband it is particularly difficult to identify very short flares from unknown objects, especially if they do not repeat often. Still, in 2006 it was announced about an important discovery [19].

Eleven sources producing very short — 2–30 ms — bursts have been detected. They are called RRATs — Rotating Radio Transients. Bursts appeared to be produced by periodic sources with many «empty» cycles. Periods are between 0.7 and 7 s, but typical intervals between bursts are from several minutes up to a few hours, which means that mostly sources are not active (or below detectability level). A period for a given source is defined as the least common denominator for intervals between individual dispersed pulses. For several objects period derivatives have been also measured, and obvious association with NSs was established.

In the $P-\dot{P}$ diagram the new sources occupy the region partly coinciding with the main radio pulsar cloud, partly extending towards longer spin periods and larger magnetic fields. On average, RRATs have larger magnetic fields and spin periods than standard radio pulsars. Initially, it was suspected that RRATs can represent a new distinct population of NSs. However, now it is usually accepted that mostly RRATs do not form a separate class, but just demonstrate some specific type of activity in radio.

Further observations provided more — several dozens — sources of this kind [20]. In addition, other types of activity were detected from some of them. In particular, more or less standard radio pulsar emission was observed in many cases, and oppositely, some radio pulsars demonstrate short intensive bursts, so if they were observed from larger distance — they would be classified as RRATs. As a class RRATs are identified as: «...repeating radio sources, with underlying periodicity, which is more significantly detectable via its single pulses than in periodicity searches» [20].

The most studied RRAT J1819-1458, as often happens, seems to be peculiar (see, for example, [20]). In the first place, it is necessary to say that this is the only of these sources detected not only in the radio waveband. It was identified as an X-ray source in archival Chandra data [21]. Then, it was observed several times in X-rays. Clear pulsations with the period coincident with the one determined in radio were detected. The spectrum appears to be thermal. In this respect the source looks similar to the Magnificent Seven objects (see below). Peculiar glitches were reported from this source [22]. Also it is worth mentioning that this is a RRAT with the brightest bursts.

Despite many attempts, no other RRATs have been detected in any waveband, except radio. The nature of short radio bursts remains unclear, and we do not provide a review, or even a list, of models for this phenomena.

1.3. Central Compact Objects in Supernova Remnants. The history of this type of sources (CCOs for short) can be started with X-ray observations with the HEAO-2 (Einstein) satellite. Good angular resolution of this instrument allowed one to identify point sources in some supernova remnants (SNRs). In 1980, a central compact object in the SNR RCW103

was discovered [23]. Few years later Tuohy et al. showed that the spectrum is consistent with the blackbody emission. That was drastically different from what was known and expected. The pulsar in the Crab Nebula — a classical prototype of all young NSs at that time — showed the spectrum dominated by nonthermal emission. One by one more CCOs which appeared very different from classical radio pulsars have been discovered. And at the end of the 20th century it became clear, that despite small number of known sources of this kind their birth rate can make a significant fraction of the total NS birth rate. In the abstract of [24] it was written: «Remarkably, these objects, taken together, represent at least half of the confirmed pulsars in supernova remnants. This being the case, these pulsars must be the progenitors of a vast population of previously unrecognized neutron stars». So, a clear understanding of the existence of a distinct population of young NSs was formed.

Now up to ten CCOs are known [25]. For some of them spin periods and period derivatives are measured. In all cases small values for dipolar magnetic fields (responsible for the spin-down) have been reported. Fields are about 1–2 orders of magnitude smaller than in normal radio pulsars, so these sources are dubbed «antimagnetars» [26].

1.4. The Magnificent Seven & Co. In the early 1970s it was proposed that isolated NSs can come to the stage of accretion from the interstellar medium [27,28]. Such objects were expected to appear as dim ($L \sim 10^{30} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) X-ray sources with thermal spectrum, but despite many searches, no good candidates were found. The situation seemed to change in 1996, when the source RX J1856.5-3754 was discovered [29]. Later studies, however, demonstrated that the object has a different nature.

RX J1856.5-3754 and six similar objects (below we will name them the Magnificent Seven, or M7 for short) finally were identified as close-by young cooling NSs (see a review in [30,31]). For all of them measurements of spin periods are reported (however, for one the result is in doubt). Spin periods are in the range 3–12 s. For several objects period derivatives are measured too [32]. In the $P-\dot{P}$ diagram it places them between standard radio pulsars and magnetars. However, M7 do not demonstrate either magnetar types of activity, or any radio pulsar or RRAT-like activity [33].

M7 are characterized by thermal spectra with a broad spectral feature in most of them [30]. The origin of this depression is not clear [31]. Observed emission is mainly due to residual heat and additional heating from the magnetic field decay.

Surprisingly, the number of M7-like sources was not increasing since 2001. The best new candidate was identified in 2009 [34], however, its nature is not confirmed, and recently a period of 19 ms was reported for this object [35]. Population studies (see below) suggest that few tens of objects like these can be identified up to the fluxes an order of magnitude smaller than for known sources.

1.5. Magnetars. The most popular types of young NSs different from standard radio pulsars are related to the magnetar hypothesis [36]. Now several types of sources are linked to magnetars on different stages of their evolution, but still we have to start with soft gamma-ray repeaters (SGRs) and anomalous X-ray pulsars (AXPs).

The benchmark of the history of magnetars is the discovery of the giant flare of SGR 0526-66 in 1979 [37,38]. Now in the McGill online catalogue¹ there are two dozens of magnetars, roughly one third of which are candidates, and the numbers of SGRs and AXPs

¹<http://www.physics.mcgill.ca/~pulsar/magnetar/main.html>

are approximately equal. Difference between these two types of magnetars (here and below we assume that the magnetar hypothesis is true) is very often of historical nature. If an object is discovered as a bursting source — then it is classified as a SGR. If an object is discovered as an X-ray source with properties typical of AXPs — then it is included in the corresponding list. Later, very often SGRs are observed as stable X-ray sources, similar to AXPs, and some AXPs demonstrate bursts, similar to the so-called weak bursts of SGRs (see [39] for a review of bursting activity of magnetars).

In a standard picture (which still lacks ultimate confirmation), SGRs and AXPs are isolated NSs with very strong magnetic fields. Their present-day dipolar fields are $\sim 10^{14} - 10^{15}$ G. They are young objects with ages $\sim 10^3 - 10^5$ y. Due to large dipolar fields they have large period derivatives, and long (for such a young age) spin periods about few seconds. Relatively large stable X-ray luminosity is related to dissipation of the energy of magnetic fields (currents) in the crust of these NSs. Strong bursts are thought to be due to global reconfiguration of the outer magnetic field structure, which is also linked to processes of field decay in the crust.

There are several strong arguments in favour of the magnetar hypothesis¹. Let us stop on one of them (see a review and original references in [40]). Thanks to observations aboard the INTEGRAL satellite it became possible to obtain magnetar spectra up to ~ 200 keV. They appear to be very hard; i.e., there is a very strong nonthermal component at the energies above ~ 20 keV which goes up to 100–200 keV. There is no unique explanation for this phenomenon in the standard magnetar picture. However, one of reasonable hypotheses is the following. Thermal photons from the surface are scattered on hot electrons in the magnetosphere. To support the necessary number of high-energy electrons, it is necessary to have large external magnetic field. Quantitative estimates show that necessary fields fit the standard magnetar hypothesis.

1.6. Twilight Objects. In this subsection, we briefly comment on several sources, which can be very important to establish links between different types of NSs and to understand better evolution of compact objects. They can represent transitional phases, or evolved stages.

We start with the PSR J1846-0258. The object was known as an X-ray pulsar with $P = 0.326$ s inside the SNR Kes 75. The radio pulses are not detected, but clearly it is just due to orientation, as the object has a pulsar wind nebula around. So, it looked like normal young pulsar with estimated magnetic field $B = 5 \cdot 10^{13}$ G. However, in 2008 two papers appeared which reported magnetar-like behavior of this source [41,42].

The X-ray luminosity of the pulsar increased to the level when it cannot be explained by rotation energy losses and, in addition, bursts, similar to SGRs and AXPs ones, were detected.

This discovery clearly demonstrated that sources can quickly lapse from type to type, and that some internal characteristics are very important.

Another interesting source can be treated as an opposite example, in some sense. This is the PSR J1622-4950, detected in a radio survey [43]. The object has spin period 4.3 s and magnetic field $\sim 3 \cdot 10^{14}$ G. These values are typical of magnetars, not of radio pulsar, but the source demonstrates only radio pulsar behavior (however, with some peculiarities like properties of radio spectrum and significant timing noise).

¹Probably, one of the strongest arguments is related to the existence of strong bursts. Magnetic field energy dissipation is the most conservative mechanism which can explain release of $> 10^{40}$ erg in a fraction of a second, and do it repeatedly.

The third source related to «twilight magnetars» is a recently discovered SGR. The puzzling feature of SGR 0418+572 is its very low dipolar magnetic field. More than one year of observations did not allow one to detect spin-down of this, in other respects, normal magnetar with $P = 9.1$ s [44]. The reported upper limit on the field estimated as $B = 3.2 \cdot 10^{19} \sqrt{P\dot{P}}$ is $< 7.5 \cdot 10^{12}$ G.

All three discoveries clearly show that the knowledge of P and \dot{P} (i.e., external dipolar field) is not enough to conclude about possible appearance (and, hence, classification) of a source. Some parameters that avoid easy detection are crucially important. In the standard picture this role is played by internal (crustal) toroidal field.

The fourth (and the last) object discussed in this subsection is a different one. It got its name — Calvera — as initially it was suspected that it can be related to the Magnificent Seven [45]. The source showed a thermal spectrum, no traces of other types of activity — so, it was suspected that it can be a cooling isolated NS. Later observations confirmed the thermal (two-component) spectrum, but the measurements of spin period gave a surprise: 59 ms [46]. Upper limit on the period derivative allowed one to put an upper limit on the external dipolar field: $< 5 \cdot 10^{10}$ G. Then, the object cannot be a relative of the Magnificent Seven, but can be an evolved version of CCOs.

1.7. X-Ray Binary Systems. NSs are product of massive stars evolution. Most of massive stars are members of binary systems. About 10% of them survive after the first supernova explosion. Then, we can expect to find NSs in binary systems. Indeed, there are hundreds of different sources related to them. At the present time all known NSs in binary systems are either accreting (permanently or transiently), or are radio pulsars. No magnetars activity, and no NSs emitting the residual heat are known in binaries, yet. Very briefly we comment on known types of NS binaries. More detailed information can be found, for example, in [47] and references therein.

Most of radio pulsars found in binaries are not young NSs with fields $\sim 10^{12}$ G. They are the so-called millisecond radio pulsars. With spin periods about 10 ms they have fields $\sim 10^9$ G and occupy lower left part of the $P-\dot{P}$ diagram. Millisecond pulsars are old objects. They have been spun up in close binary systems by accretion. At the same time, their magnetic fields decayed, and the mass was increased. When the phase of active accretion was over, they resurrected as radio pulsars. Typical companions of millisecond pulsars are old stars: low-mass stars and white dwarfs.

Standard radio pulsars also can be found in binary systems. Their companions can be of different mass, but of course, they cannot be significantly evolved. An interesting situation can happen, when a powerful radio pulsar is in pair with a massive star with strong stellar wind. Interaction of relativistic pulsar wind with dense wind of a massive star results in an appearance of a gamma-ray source.

Finally, a radio pulsar can have as a companion another NS. Naturally, we expect that in a typical situation we see an older NS as a millisecond radio pulsar, and the younger one can be a dead pulsar. One important exception is the system J0737-3039, where two pulsars were observed. See a review on binary pulsars in [48].

Systems with accreting NSs are divided into two main groups: low-mass X-ray binaries and high-mass X-ray binaries. In the first, accretion mostly proceeds via Roche-lobe overflow. In the second, it can be also due to capture of the stellar wind matter.

A NS can appear, as an X-ray pulsar if it is accreting, as the falling matter reaches the surface inhomogeneously due to the magnetic field. An important example is millisecond X-ray pulsars which represent the stage prior to the radio millisecond pulsar, i.e., they are NSs in the phase of spin-up.

Another interesting situation, related to studies of the internal structure of NSs, is when accretion proceeds transiently. Then, in between two episodes of accretion one can observe a cooling NS. But it is not the same situation that we have with young coolers, emitting their residual heat. Here thermal emission is related to pycnonuclear reactions in the crust of a NS. See details and references in [49].

2. ANSWERS WE WANT TO GET

Why do we study NSs? The first answer is obvious: «Because they are beautiful!» More serious answers can be divided into two parts: astrophysical and physical.

2.1. Astrophysical Problems. This part is mainly related to properties and evolution of different sources, to possible relations and differences between them.

The list of questions is inevitably personal, subjective; and I would like to start it with initial properties of NSs. It is of great importance for astrophysical studies to have knowledge about initial distributions of the main NS parameters: masses, velocities, spin periods, magnetic fields, etc. In the first place it is important for our understanding of NSs and their evolution. But not only. Initial parameters of compact objects have imprints of supernova explosions, which beget them. Many astrophysical studies of NSs have the final goal in reconstructing some of those distributions of parameters at birth.

Now we know that newborn NSs can have very different sets of parameters. It is crucial to understand what stands behind this difference. All the variety of young NSs described above must find its explanation, if we figure out how diverse combinations of characteristics appear.

Finally, we observe more or less evolved NSs. We cannot get a clear astrophysical picture for these objects if we do not know evolutionary laws for them. The problem aggravates as many parameters which can be important for the evolution are not directly measured. For example, the angle between spin and magnetic axes, or toroidal magnetic field in a crust. The first of these two can be influential in the magneto-rotational evolution (for example, for radio pulsars), the second — in thermal evolution and bursting activity of magnetars. Present evolutionary models in many respects are either over-simplified, or uncertain.

2.2. Physical Problems. Problems discussed here are related to the fact that NSs have many extreme properties, and so can serve as labs and instruments to study behaviour of matter under these extreme conditions. Many examples can be mentioned here. Among them, electrodynamics in super-Schwinger magnetic fields, condensed states in strong magnetic fields, etc. Let us briefly detailize two of them.

Despite the fact that the history of radio pulsar studies is more than 40 years long, exact mechanisms of radio pulsar spin-down and emission at different wavelengths are not known. This is more a physical than an astrophysical problem. Observations, of course, help to have some progress in understanding of these phenomena, still something is missing in the complicated picture of the radio pulsar machinery.

Many researchers deem that the main physical problem related to NSs is behaviour of matter under high (supernuclear) density. Indeed, any studies of cold matter with density above few nuclear densities (especially, matter enriched by neutrons) are impossible in the earth laboratories now, and will be impossible in the near future. However, many important issues in the nuclear physics deserve understanding of such a behaviour. Numerous research groups all over the world are developing different methods to get some new knowledge in this field. This includes pure theoretical physics studies, extensive numerical modeling, inventing new methods to confront theoretical predictions and observational data, and last but not least, new observations to measure NS parameters that can tell us something about properties of their interiors. Below we describe some of them.

3. NEUTRON STAR INTERIORS AND THEIR STUDIES FROM «INFINITY»

It is modest to say that astrophysical studies of NS interiors are «indirect». We are dealing with a peculiar situation, when we have to study inner properties of some object just looking at from a huge distance. A NS at several hundreds parsec (typical distance to the closest known sources of this kind) has the same angular size as a proton, when you look at it from the distance of several tens of centimeters. Although, this is the only way.

After half-century discussions and attempts we have several interesting possibilities to learn something about NS structure and characteristics of inner regions. Many measured characteristics of NSs are model-dependent, but some are not. These are mainly temporal parameters: spin period and its variations. Some of them can be used to constrain internal properties of NSs. Already spin period itself is important. Let us remember, that short spin periods were one of the main arguments in favour of NS interpretation of newly discovered pulsars: white dwarfs simply could not rotate that rapidly. Observations of very rapidly rotating NSs (with periods \lesssim ms) can provide strong limits on some models of the internal structure. Spin periods are changing on different time scales. Gradual changes can have positive or negative sign in different types of sources. Radio pulsars spin down, accreting pulsars can both: spin up and spin down. These data on period variations can be used to put some rough constraints on the structural properties of NSs. Sudden changes of spin frequency of radio pulsars — the so-called glitches — provide very important information about internal properties of NSs. High frequency oscillations observed in light curves of some magnetars after strong flares are used to constrain properties of the crust [50]. Measurements of long-term timing behaviour of binary radio pulsars can provide direct measurements of moment of inertia, which can be also used to probe the EoS of dense matter. Temporal characteristics of the gravitational wave signal from coalescences of binary NSs in the near future will be also an important source of information about NS matter.

However, now the three main approaches to study NS EoS are: mass determination, radius determination, and studies of thermal evolution of NSs.

3.1. Mass Determination. There are two ways to measure mass of a star. We start with the second one — gravitational redshift. Why with it? — it is faster to explain. Why the second — because it is not used, yet.

If a redshifted line is identified in a NS spectrum, then we immediately obtain a measure of the ratio M/R . This is a unique way to obtain some information about masses of isolated

NSs. Unfortunately, now there are no sustainable redshift measurements for NSs. Here we leave this method and come to the only used one.

Stellar masses are determined if an object is a member of a binary system. In the ideal case we can measure orbital velocities of both objects, eccentricity, and orientation of the orbit respect to us. Then, for the mass we have

$$M_1 = (1/2\pi G) \left(\frac{1}{\sin^3 i} \right) K_2^3 P_{\text{orb}} (1 - e^2)^{3/2} \left(\frac{1 + M_2}{M_1} \right). \quad (3)$$

Here P_{orb} is the orbital period; e — eccentricity; i is the angle between the normal to the orbit and the line of sight; K_2 is semi-amplitude of the velocity of the second star.

Very often not all information is available. Then, it is useful to introduce the so-called mass function, f_m . It can be derived from observations of the second star, and then the mass of the first one is

$$M_1 = f_m \left(\frac{1}{\sin^3 i} \right) \left(\frac{1 + M_2}{M_1} \right)^2. \quad (4)$$

Obviously, $M_1 > f_m$. Then, the mass function can be used as a lower limit on the mass. For example, mass function $> 3 M_\odot$ is an indication that a compact companion is a black hole.

There are two main types of systems where NS masses are measured: X-ray and radio pulsar binaries. Typically, in the first case measurements are less precise. A recent list of mass measurements can be found in [51]. In the unique case of the binary pulsar PSR J0737-3039 (where both components are NSs observed as radio pulsars) masses of both components are known after just a few years of observations with precision $\sim 0.001 M_\odot$.

It is of particular interest to find most massive NSs, as it allows one to eliminate some EoS. For each EoS there is a maximum mass. More massive objects collapse into a black hole. Thus, a single measurement of a very high mass can lead to a significant progress in our understanding of high-density physics. At the moment the highest measured mass is $\sim 2 M_\odot$ determined for PSR J1614-2230 [52]. Discussion of this important result can be found in [53]. Even higher mass was reported for PSR B1957+20 [54], but this result is less certain at the moment.

3.2. Radius Determination. Radius measurements are usually more model-dependent than mass measurements. At first, a radius can be estimated for thermally emitting NS using usual formula: $L = 4\pi R^2 \sigma T_{\text{eff}}^4$. A clear oversimplification is related to the fact that temperature distribution on the surface can be very inhomogeneous. Still, this method is used for different objects, for example, in the case of an isolated cooling NS RX J1856-3754.

Another approach is used in accreting systems. If an accretion disc is formed, then it is possible to measure redshift at the inner edge of the disc. This is done as follows. If a famous iron line (6.4 keV) is detected in a source, then one can study distortion of the line due to gravitational redshift. Fitting the line it is possible to determine the radius of the disc inner edge (it is mass-dependent, however). Then, this estimate can be used as an upper limit on the NS radius (see description of the method and some results in [55] and references therein).

In some binary systems quasiregular X-ray bursts appear due to runaway thermonuclear reaction in the accreted layer of hydrogen. Then, outer layers are lifted above the NS surface and slowly come back, levitating due to high luminosity. As the first approximation we can take that luminosity is equal to the critical (Eddington) value. Fitting the spectrum with some reasonable model we can obtain an estimate of the radius of the NS. This method is used

for quite a long time (see [56] and references therein) with modifications. One of the main problems is in preparing a good model for the spectrum. Additional difficulties are related to unknown composition of the accreted matter, and to uncertainties in luminosity, which can deviate from the Eddington value.

It would be nice to use several different methods to estimate mass and radius in one source. A possibility of this is described in [57], but unfortunately, at the moment this is just a possibility. Despite the fact that several times appeared reports that for some sources it was possible to have mass and radius measurements with small errors, recent studies demonstrate that uncertainties are still large [58,59].

4. THERMAL EVOLUTION OF NEUTRON STARS

A NS cooling during first $\sim 10^5$ y of evolution is mainly determined by neutrino processes in its interiors. Consequently, observing the surface temperature in the case when we can neglect accretion, polar caps heating due to currents in a magnetosphere (positrons going towards NS surface), etc., it is possible to get indirect information about properties of high-density matter.

There are several reactions working in NS inner regions in which neutrinos are emitted. The most efficient one is the direct URCA process (see a detailed discussion in [2] and a shorter review in [60]). This reaction is allowed if density is above some limit, automatically this means that the process is on if a NS mass is larger than some critical one. If direct URCA is operating inside a NS, then it cools down really fast. Observations demonstrate that there are several NSs of different classes (CCOs, radio pulsar, M7) which are hot at ages $\sim 10^4$ – 10^6 y; i.e., direct URCA does not set in. This is an important information, which could not be obtained by other matters. The example above shows, that indeed observations of cooling NSs can tell us something about internal properties of these objects. Of course, this is just one illustration. Fitting a plethora of observational data on NS thermal properties can give significant support in favour or against a given model of NS structure and EoS.

Some of neutrino processes in NSs can be related to neutron and proton superfluidities (see a review in [61]). Therefore, we can probe the existence of superfluidity in compact objects via observation of their thermal surface emission. The most extreme example was given recently by studies of a CCO Cas A.

It was discovered, that the temperature of this source decreased by a few percent in several years [62]. Such a rapid cooling is a signature of a specific stage of NS interiors. Several interpretations are suggested. One of them states that rapid cooling appears due to onset of neutron superfluidity [63,64].

The NSs in binaries also can provide important information due to their thermal emission. These are compact objects in the so-called soft X-ray transients (see, for example, [2]). The NSs in such systems are accreting transiently. Accretion can be switched off for several years. In this period we can observe surface emission. Surprisingly, thermal radiation is strong, so that it is necessary to involve some additional source of energy. Pycnonuclear reaction can do the job [65]. Accretion results in sinking of the crust matter into deep, where density is higher. Nuclei appear out of equilibrium, and pycnonuclear reaction starts, heating the compact object. Part of the energy is transported into central parts and emitted by neutrinos, part is emitted from the surface.

Different EoS models can be confronted with observational data using observations of cooling NSs in soft X-ray transients [49]. This is an important addition to the data on isolated coolers.

4.1. External Layers. Neutrino emission is determined by processes in NS core. However, at «infinity» we see surface emission, which is sensitive to properties of outer layers of a NS (the proportion in size between a core and a crust is roughly as between an orange peel and the rest of the fruit, but the crust is much less dense than the core, so just $< 1\%$ of mass is related to the crust). This complicates the picture, as it is necessary to take into account a thermally insulating envelope and an atmosphere which distorts the spectrum.

The NSs (except very young objects, a few years old or younger) are nearly isothermal (having in mind general relativity effects), except a thin outer layer with significant temperature gradient. This layer is known as an envelope. As at «infinity» we observe the surface temperature, we have to know how it is related to the temperature inside a NS. If no additional heating mechanisms are operating in the crust, then the problem relaxes to heat transport in the envelope.

This question is actively studied, and several models are on the market (see, for example, [2, 60] and references therein). Properties of envelopes depend on their chemical composition and magnetic fields. Light-element envelopes give an effect of higher temperature in NS young years ($\lesssim 10^5$ y), and lower temperature afterwards. High-constant dipolar fields in an envelope produce the same effect, but complicated field geometry and field decay can modify this conclusion.

The NSs can have geometrically thin atmospheres with typical scale height \sim cm. Because of it, an observed spectrum can deviate significantly from a pure blackbody. Two limiting cases are represented by hydrogen and iron outer layers. In the first case, spectrum is relatively featureless, but strongly deviates from the blackbody which roughly can be described as a shift towards higher energy (and, of course, slightly down). This happens because for higher energy photons (\sim keV in a realistic case of a NS with $T \gtrsim 10^6$ K) the atmosphere is more transparent, and they are able to escape to «infinity» from deeper layers, where temperature is higher. In the case of an iron atmosphere, spectrum for $T \sim 10^6$ K does not deviate from the blackbody significantly, but is expected to have many lines and edges. Presence of strong magnetic fields brings additional complications into calculating properties of outgoing emission (see a review on NS atmospheres in [66]).

Unknown properties of an atmosphere can result in wrong estimates for the effective temperature and radius of the emitting region. The latter can be illustrated by the case of Cas A. For several years it was puzzling that fits provided very small emitting area, however, no pulsations have been ever detected from this source. The mystery was solved in 2009 [67]. With a carbon atmosphere it was possible to obtain realistic values for temperature and radius, consistent with absence of pulsations due to nearly homogeneous temperature distribution.

It is necessary to mention, that there exists an additional possibility. Instead of an atmosphere a condensate can form on a surface if temperature is low or/and if the magnetic field is high [68]. In this case, the spectrum also can deviate from a blackbody, but can mimic it in a limited energy range.

4.2. Additional Heating, Magnetic Field Decay. Several different mechanisms of additional NS heating have been discussed in the literature. We focus just on one of them: magnetic field decay. This mechanism is a standard element of magnetar physics. Whether it

is important in NSs with standard ($\sim 10^{12}$ G) or small ($\lesssim 10^{10}$ G) magnetic fields is not so clear. But for high-field NSs additional heating due to field decay fits the data quite well [69].

In Figs. 2 and 3 we compare cooling curves obtained in the framework of decaying magnetic field. It is obvious from the figure that for high initial fields ($\sim 10^{14}$ G) the effect




Fig. 2. Cooling curves of a $M = 1.25M_{\odot}$ NS with different values of the initial magnetic field strength. From bottom to top: $B_0 = 3 \cdot 10^{12}$, 10^{13} ; $3 \cdot 10^{13}$, 10^{14} ; $3 \cdot 10^{14}$, 10^{15} , and $3 \cdot 10^{15}$ G. From [70]




Fig. 3. Cooling curves with $B_0 = 10^{14}$ G and different masses: $M = 1.10$ (top solid line), 1.25 (dotted), 1.32 (dashed), 1.40 (dash-dotted), 1.48 (dash-triple-dotted), 1.60 (long dashed), and 1.70 M_{\odot} (bottom solid line). From [70]




Fig. 4. Luminosity as a function of the initial magnetic field strength for a $M = 1.25M_{\odot}$ NS at different ages (10^5 , $5 \cdot 10^5$, and 10^6 y). From [70]

of additional heating dominates different effects related to conditions in the interiors (which strongly depend on NS mass).

Luminosity as a function of the initial magnetic field strength at different ages of NS is shown in Fig. 4.

Magnetic field decay makes the NS evolution much more interesting and complicated, as several parameters, otherwise independent, become strongly coupled. Magneto-rotational and thermal evolution should be considered in one framework. Let us add evolution of bursting activity and its possible influence on period and temperature changes, and we obtain a very complicated picture, self-consistent description of which is still in future.

5. POPULATION STUDIES OF NEUTRON STARS

In astrophysics we typically can observe just a tip of an iceberg — only the brighter sources of some given type. In addition, only some specific evolutionary stages for a given type of objects can have detectable manifestations. Then, we need some special approach to deal with such a situation. Such a method exists and it is called population synthesis [71].

Population synthesis is realized as a numerical method. Several modifications are known, but in general it works as follows. A synthetic population of sources with given distribution of initial parameters is formed. Then, it is evolved using prescribed evolutionary laws. Observable parameters are calculated during this evolution, and statistics are collected. Finally, after normalization a synthetic population is confronted with an observed one (if any exists, otherwise results of population synthesis are predictions for future observations). It allows one to check the correctness of model assumptions (initial conditions, evolutionary laws, and normalization).

The NSs of different types are actively studied by the population synthesis calculations (see a review in [71]). For obvious reasons radio pulsars and NSs in binaries are the most popular objects in these studies. Some types of sources (CCOs, RRATs) were not studied by population synthesis method, yet. At the present time there are many uncertainties in NS evolution, that is why researchers often limit themselves with just one population of sources. This is a willy-nilly situation, but it is necessary to move towards a unified picture, in which all types of NSs and their activities are described in one framework [72]. This will require efforts in all types of research: observations, theory, modeling of evolution.

CONCLUSIONS

It seems that NS astrophysics will have bright future at least in the following 10–15 years. There are two reasons for such an optimistic conclusion. At first, there are many really interesting well-posed unsolved problems. On the other hand, several new observational facilities of the top class will start their operation in the following years. These included upgraded gravitational wave detectors, large radio telescopes, new X-ray observatories, and large optical telescopes. We should not forget about new accelerator projects, such as FAIR and NICA, that will also contribute to our knowledge of high-density physics.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank the Organizers for the wonderful school and for support of my participation in it. It was a pleasure to see many talented, motivated and educated students. I thank for hospitality and support the University of Padova, where this paper was written during my visit, and Prof. Turolla for many interesting discussions.

The work was supported by RFBR grants 10-02-00599 and 12-02-00186, and by the Federal program for scientific and teaching staff (contract 02.-740.11.0575).

REFERENCES

1. *Landau L. D.* On the Theory of Stars // *Phys. Z. Sov.* 1932. V. 1. P. 285–288.
2. *Haensel P., Potekhin A. Y., Yakovlev D. G.* Neutron Stars. 1. Equation of State and Structure. Boston; Dordrecht; London: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 2007.
3. *Baade W., Zwicky F.* Cosmic Rays from Supernovae // *Publ. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 1934. V. 20. P. 259–263.
4. *Tolman R. C.* Static Solutions of Einstein Field Equations for Spheres of Fluid // *Phys. Rev.* 1939. V. 55. P. 364–373.
5. *Oppenheimer J. R., Volkoff G. M.* On Massive Neutron Cores // *Ibid.* P. 374–381.
6. *Migdal A. B.* Superfluidity and the Moments of Inertia of Nuclei // *Nucl. Phys.* 1959. V. 13. P. 655–674.
7. *Ginzburg V. L.* Magnetic Fields of Collapsing Masses and the Nature of Superstars // *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR.* 1964. V. 156. P. 43–46.
8. *Kardashev N. S.* Magnetic Collapse and the Nature of Powerful Sources of Cosmic Radio Emission // *Astron. Zh.* 1964. V. 41. P. 807–813.
9. *Tsuruta S., Cameron A. G. W.* Cooling and Detectability of Neutron Stars // *Can. J. Phys.* 1966. V. 44. P. 1863–1894.
10. *Morton D. C.* Neutron Stars as X-Ray Sources // *Nature.* 1964. V. 201. P. 1308–1309.
11. *Chiu H.-Ye., Salpeter E. E.* Surface X-Ray Emission from Neutron Stars // *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1964. V. 12. P. 413–415.
12. *Giacconi R. et al.* Evidence for X-Rays from Sources Outside the Solar System // *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1962. V. 9. P. 439–443.
13. *Hewish A. et al.* Observation of a Rapidly Rotating Radio Source // *Nature.* 1968. V. 217. P. 709–713.
14. *Pacini F.* Energy Emission from a Neutron Star // *Nature.* 1967. V. 216. P. 567–568.
15. *Mereghetti S.* X-Ray Emission from Isolated Neutron Stars // *High-Energy Emission from Pulsars and Their Systems.* *Astrophys. Space Sci. Proc.* Berlin; Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2010. P. 345–362.
16. *Manchester R. N. et al.* The Australia Telescope National Facility Pulsar Catalogue // *Astron. J.* 2005. V. 129. P. 1993–2006.
17. *Saz Parkinson P. M.* Status and Prospects of Fermi LAT Pulsar Blind Searches. arXiv:1101.3096.
18. *Beskin V. S.* Radio Pulsars // *Phys. Usp.* 1999. V. 42. P. 1071–1098.
19. *McLaughlin M. A. et al.* Transient Radio Bursts from Rotating Neutron Stars // *Nature.* 2006. V. 439. P. 817–820.
20. *Keane E. F., McLaughlin M. A.* Rotating Radio Transient // *Bull. Astr. Soc. India.* 2011. V. 39. P. 1–20.

21. *Reynolds S. P. et al.* Discovery of the X-Ray Counterpart to the Rotating Radio Transient J1819-1458 // *Astrophys. J.* 2006. V. 639. P. L71–L74.
22. *Lyne A. G. et al.* Unusual Glitch Activity in the RRAT J1819-1458: An Exhausted Magnetar? // *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 2009. V. 400. P. 1439–1444.
23. *Tuohy I., Garmire G.* Discovery of a Compact X-Ray Source at the Center of the Supernova Remnant RCW103 // *Astrophys. J.* 1980. V. 239. P. L107–L110.
24. *Gotthelf E. V., Vasisht G.* A New View on Young Pulsars in Supernova Remnants: Slow Radio-Quiet & X-Ray Bright // *Pulsar Astronomy-2000 and Beyond. ASP Conf. Ser. 202; Proc. of the 177th IAU Colloq. / Eds.: M. Kramer, N. Wex, N. Wielebinski. San Francisco: ASP, 2000. P. 699–703.*
25. *Pavlov G. G., Sanwal D., Teter M. A.* Central Compact Objects in Supernova Remnants // *Young Neutron Stars and Their Environments: IAU Symp. 218 / Eds.: F. Camilo, B. M. Gaensler. San Francisco: Astron. Soc. of the Pacific, 2004. P. 239–246.*
26. *Halpern J. P., Gotthelf E. V.* Spin-Down Measurement of PSR J1852+0040 in Kesteven 79: Central Compact Objects as Antimagnetars // *Astrophys. J.* 2010. V. 709. P. 436–446.
27. *Shvartsman V. F.* Two Generations of Pulsars // *Radiophys. Quant. Electron.* 1970. V. 13. P. 1428–1440.
28. *Ostriker J. P., Rees M. J., Silk J.* Some Observable Consequences of Accretion by Defunct Pulsars // *Astrophys. Lett.* 1970. V. 6. P. 179.
29. *Walter F. et al.* Discovery of a Nearby Isolated Neutron Star // *Nature.* 1996. V. 379. P. 233–235.
30. *Haberl F.* The Magnificent Seven: Magnetic Fields and Surface Temperature Distributions // *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 2007. V. 308. P. 181–190.
31. *Turolla R.* Isolated Neutron Stars: The Challenge of Simplicity // *Neutron Stars and Pulsars // Astrophys. and Space Sci. Library. V. 357. Springer, 2009. P. 141–163.*
32. *Kaplan D. L., van Kerkwijk M. H.* Constraining the Spin-Down of the Nearby Isolated Neutron Star RX J0806.4-4123, and Implications for the Population of Nearby Neutron Stars // *Astrophys. J.* 2009. V. 705. P. 798–808.
33. *Kondratiev V. I. et al.* New Limits on Radio Emission from X-Ray Dim Isolated Neutron Stars // *Ibid.* V. 702. P. 692–706.
34. *Pires A. M. et al.* The Isolated Neutron Star Candidate 2XMM J104608.7-594306 // *Astron. Astrophys.* 2009. V. 498. P. 233–240.
35. *Pires A. M. et al.* First Dedicated Observations of the Isolated Neutron Star in the Carina Nebula // *The X-Ray Universe 2011. Presentations of the Conference, Berlin, June 27–30, 2011. ID 129.*
36. *Duncan R. C., Thompson C.* Formation of Very Strongly Magnetized Neutron Stars — Implications for Gamma-Ray Bursts // *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 1992. V. 392. P. L9–L13.
37. *Mazets E. P. et al.* Observations of a Flaring X-Ray Pulsar in Dorado // *Nature.* 1979. V. 282. P. 587–589.
38. *Vedrenne G. et al.* Observations of the X-Ray Burster 0525.9-66.1 // *Sov. Astron. Lett.* 1979. V. 5. P. 314–317.
39. *Rea N., Esposito P.* Magnetar Outbursts: An Observational Review // *High-Energy Emission from Pulsars and Their Systems: Astrophys. and Space Sci. Proc. Berlin; Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2011. P. 247–280.*
40. *Mereghetti S.* The Strongest Cosmic Magnets: Soft Gamma-Ray Repeaters and Anomalous X-Ray Pulsars // *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* 2008. V. 15. P. 225–287.
41. *Kumar H. S., Safi-Harb S.* Variability of the High Magnetic Field X-Ray Pulsar PSR J1846-0258 Associated with the Supernova Remnant Kes 75 as Revealed by the Chandra X-Ray Observatory // *Astrophys. J.* 2008. V. 678. P. L43–L46.

42. *Gavriil F. P. et al.* Magnetar-Like Emission from the Young Pulsar in Kes 75 // *Science*. 2008. V. 318. P. 1802.
43. *Levin L.* A Radio-Loud Magnetar in X-Ray Quiescence // *Astrophys. J.* 2010. V. 721. P. L33–L37.
44. *Rea N. et al.* A Low-Magnetic-Field Soft Gamma Repeater // *Science*. 2010. V. 330. P. 944.
45. *Rutledge R. E., Fox D. B., Shevchuk A. H.* Discovery of an Isolated Compact Object at High Galactic Latitude // *Astrophys. J.* 2008. V. 672. P. 1137–1143.
46. *Zane S. et al.* Discovery of 59 ms Pulsations from 1RXS J141256.0+792204 (Calvera) // *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 2010. V. 410. P. 2428–2445.
47. *Postnov K. A., Yungelson L. R.* The Evolution of Compact Binary Star Systems // *Living Rev. Rel.* 2006. V. 9.
48. *Lorimer D.* Binary and Millisecond Pulsars // *Living Rev. Rel.* 2008. V. 11.
49. *Yakovlev D. G., Levenfish K. P., Gnedin O. Y.* Pycnonuclear Reactions in Dense Stellar Matter // *Eur. Phys. J. A.* 2005. V. 25. P. 669–672.
50. *Watts A. L.* Neutron Starquakes and the Dynamic Crust. arXiv: 1111.0514.
51. *Kiziltan B., Kottas A., Thorsett S. E.* The Neutron Star Mass Distribution. arXiv: 1011.4291.
52. *Demorest P. B. et al.* A Two-Solar-Mass Neutron Star Measured Using Shapiro Delay // *Nature*. 2010. V. 467. P. 1081–1083.
53. *Lattimer J. M., Prakash M.* What a Two-Solar-Mass Neutron Star Really Means. arXiv: 1012.3208.
54. *van Kerkwijk M. H., Breton R. P., Kulkarni S. R.* Evidence for a Massive Neutron Star from a Radial-Velocity Study of the Companion to the Black-Widow Pulsar PSR B1957+20 // *Astrophys. J.* 2011. V. 728. ID 95.
55. *Cackett E. M. et al.* Relativistic Lines and Reflection from the Inner Accretion Disks around Neutron Stars // *Astrophys. J.* 2010. V. 720. P. 205–225.
56. *Fujimoto M. Y., Gottwald M.* An Indication of the Eddington Luminosity during X-Ray Bursts and Constraints on the Mass and Radius of Neutron Stars in 4U 1608-52 and MXB 1728-34 // *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 1989. V. 236. P. 545–557.
57. *Ozel F.* Soft Equations of State for Neutron-Star Matter Ruled out by EXO 0748-676 // *Nature*. 2006. V. 441. P. 1115–1117.
58. *Suleimanov V. et al.* Neutron Star Stiff Equation of State Derived from Cooling Phases of the X-Ray Burster 4U 1724-307. arXiv: 1004.4871.
59. *Steiner A. W., Lattimer J. M., Brown E. F.* The Equation of State from Observed Masses and Radii of Neutron Stars // *Astrophys. J.* 2010. V. 722. P. 33–54.
60. *Yakovlev D. G., Pethick C. J.* Neutron Star Cooling // *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* 2004. V. 42. P. 169–210.
61. *Yakovlev D. G., Levenfish K. P., Shibano Y. A.* Cooling of Neutron Stars and Superfluidity in Their Cores // *Phys. Usp.* 1999. V. 42. P. 737–778.
62. *Heinke C. O., Ho W. C. G.* Direct Observation of the Cooling of the Cassiopeia A Neutron Star // *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 2010. V. 719. P. L167–L171.
63. *Shternin P. S. et al.* Cooling Neutron Star in the Cassiopeia A Supernova Remnant: Evidence for Superfluidity in the Core // *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 2011. V. 412. P. L108–L112.
64. *Page D. et al.* Rapid Cooling of the Neutron Star in Cassiopeia A Triggered by Neutron Superfluidity in Dense Matter // *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2011. V. 106. ID 081101.
65. *Haensel P., Zdunik J. L.* Models of Crustal Heating in Accreting Neutron Stars // *Astron. Astrophys.* 2008. V. 480. P. 459–464.
66. *Zavlin V.* Thermal Emission from Isolated Neutron Stars: Theoretical and Observational Aspects. astro-ph/0702426.

67. *Ho W. C. G., Heinke C. O.* A Neutron Star with a Carbon Atmosphere in the Cassiopeia A Supernova Remnant // *Nature*. 2009. V. 462. P. 71–73.
68. *Turolla R., Zane S., Drake J.J.* Bare Quark Stars or Naked Neutron Stars? The Case of RX J1856.5-3754 // *Astrophys. J.* 2004. V. 603. P. 265–282.
69. *Pons J. A. et al.* Evidence for Heating of Neutron Stars by Magnetic-Field Decay // *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2007. V. 98. ID 071101.
70. *Popov S. B. et al.* Population Synthesis Studies of Isolated Neutron Stars with Magnetic Field Decay // *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* 2010. V. 401. P. 2675–2686.
71. *Popov S. B., Prokhorov M.E.* Population Synthesis in Astrophysics // *Phys. Usp.* 2007. V. 50. P. 1123–1146.
72. *Kaspi V.M.* Grand Unification of Neutron Stars // *Publ. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 2010. V. 107. P. 7147–7152.