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[^0]Показано, что трудности при построении интегрокубического сплайна, предложенного в работе X. Бехфоруза [1], могут быть преодолены с использованием $B$-представления. Также рассмотрены свойства приближения такого сплайна.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории информационных технологий ОИЯИ.

Integro Cubic Splines and Their Approximation Properties
It is shown that the difficulties in constructing the integro cubic spline proposed by H. Behforooz [1] may be overcome using its $B$-representation. The approximation properties of such a spline are also considered.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Information Technologies, JINR.

## INTRODUCTION

In [1] H. Behforooz introduced integro cubic splines, and the accuracy of this type of splines was shown by numerical experiments. Motivation of construction of such splines was also explained in [1] by numerous practical applications. To construct the integro cubic splines proposed in [1], besides two end conditions, also one additional/or third end condition is needed that seems to be unnaturally. He pointed out that to construct the integro cubic splines in terms of the second derivative with any end conditions, one had to solve a system of linear equations with a full matrix of higher order. In this paper we show that using $B$-representation of cubic splines one can overcome the difficulties arising in H. Behforooz's approaches. We also prove that the unique integro cubic spline exists under the appropriate given end condition and the algorithm of constructing of such a spline leads to solving a tridiagonal system. Approximation properties of the splines constructed using $B$-representation are also considered.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Suppose that the interval $[a, b]$ is partitioned by the following $k+1$ equally spaced points:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{k-1}<x_{k}=b \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $x_{i}=a+i h$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, k$ with $h=(b-a) / k$. Assume that the function values $y_{i}=y\left(x_{i}\right)$ are not given but integrals of $y=y(x)$ are known on $k$ intervals $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ and they are equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} y(x) d x=I_{i}, \quad i=1(1) k \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cubic splines $S(x) \in C^{2}[a, b]$ are called integro cubic ones [1], if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} S(x) d x=\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} y(x) d x=I_{i}, \quad i=1(1) k \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, we will use the notations: $y_{i}=y\left(x_{i}\right), S_{i}=S\left(x_{i}\right), m_{i}=S^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $M_{i}=S^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)$. If we use the first derivative representation of $S(x) \in C^{2}[a, b]$, then it is easy to show that conditions (1.3) lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
h^{2}\left(m_{i-1}-m_{i}\right)+6 h\left(S_{i-1}+S_{i}\right)=12 I_{i}, i=1(1) k,  \tag{1.4}\\
h^{2}\left(m_{i}-m_{i+1}\right)+6 h\left(S_{i}+S_{i+1}\right)=12 I_{i+1}, i=0(1) k-1 . \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (1.4), (1.5) and from the well-known consistency relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i-1}+4 m_{i}+m_{i+1}=\frac{3}{h}\left(S_{i+1}-S_{i-1}\right), \quad i=1(1) k-1 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i-1}+10 m_{i}+m_{i+1}=\frac{12}{h^{2}}\left(I_{i+1}-I_{i}\right), i=1(1) k-1 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to construct the cubic spline $S$ using Eq. (1.7) and solve it for $k+1$ unknowns $m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$, we need (as usual) two additional equations. Suppose that $y^{\prime}(a)=\alpha$ and $y^{\prime}(b)=\beta$ are given. Then, by setting $m_{0}=\alpha$ and $m_{k}=\beta$, we can solve easily the following $(k-1)$ by $(k-1)$ linear tridiagonal equations to obtain a unique set of solutions for $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k-1}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
10 m_{1}+m_{2}=b_{1}-\alpha  \tag{1.8}\\
m_{i-1}+10 m_{i}+m_{i+1}=b_{i}, i=2(1) k-2 \\
m_{k-1}+10 m_{k}=b_{k-1}-\beta
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $b_{i}=\frac{12}{h^{2}}\left(I_{i+1}-I_{i}\right)$. After finding $m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ from (1.8), we can use (1.4) or (1.5) to compute $S_{0}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}$. But we need another additional given value for $y(a)$ or $y(b)$. If the additional (third) end condition $y_{0}=y(a)$ is not given and $y(a)$ is not available, in [1] it was proposed to use the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}-S_{0}=h m_{0} \quad \text { or } \quad S_{1}-S_{0}=h m_{1} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an additional equation. However, in this case we lose the order of accuracy of spline, due to (1.9).

If we use a second derivative representation of $S(x)$, then it is easy to show that conditions (1.3) lead to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\frac{h^{3}}{24}\left(M_{i-1}+M_{i}\right)+\frac{h}{2}\left(S_{i-1}+S_{i}\right)=I_{i}, i=1(1) k \\
-\frac{h^{3}}{24}\left(M_{i}+M_{i+1}\right)+\frac{h}{2}\left(S_{i}+S_{i+1}\right)=I_{i+1}, i=0(1) k-1 \tag{1.11}
\end{array}
$$

Unlike the first derivative representation, here we cannot eliminate $S$ 's between (1.10), (1.11) and the consistency relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i-1}+4 M_{i}+M_{i+1}=\frac{6}{h^{2}}\left(S_{i-1}-2 S_{i}+S_{i+1}\right), i=1(1) k-1 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain a relation similar to (1.7) without $S$ 's. So, to construct $S(x)$ using the second derivative representation with any end conditions, we have to solve a system of linear equations with a full matrix of order $(2 k+2)$ by $(2 k+2)$. It should be pointed out that the above-mentioned conclusions are the main results of paper [1].

## 2. INTEGRO CUBIC SPLINE WITH $B$-REPRESENTATION

Now we proceed to use the $B$-representation of cubic spline $S(x)$ of class $C^{2}[a, b]$. To do this, the partition of $[a, b]$ is extended to the left and right sides by equally spaced knots

$$
x_{-3}<x_{-2}<x_{-2}<x_{0}, x_{k}<x_{k+1}<x_{k+2}<x_{k+3}
$$

Then we have [2,3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x)=\sum_{j=-1}^{k+1} \alpha_{j} B_{j}(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{j}(x)$ is a normalized cubic $B$-spline with compact support $\left[x_{j-2}, x_{j+2}\right]$. The coefficients of expansion (2.1) are given by [3]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}=S_{j}+\frac{h_{j}-h_{j-1}}{3} m_{j}-\frac{h_{j} h_{j-1}}{6} M_{j}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case of a uniform partition, formula (2.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}=S_{j}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} M_{j}, j=0,1, \ldots, k . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from (2.1) it follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{i}=\frac{\alpha_{i+1}+4 \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i-1}}{6},  \tag{2.4}\\
m_{i}=\frac{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i-1}}{2 h},  \tag{2.5}\\
M_{i}=\frac{\alpha_{i+1}-2 \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i-1}}{h^{2}}, \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i=0,1, \ldots, k$.
We will show that the difficulties mentioned above may be overcome by using $B$-representation (2.1), instead of the second derivative representation. In order to show that, we rewrite relations (1.10) and (1.11) in term of expansion coefficients $\alpha_{i}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{i-1}+\alpha_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left(M_{i-1}+M_{i}\right)=\frac{2}{h} I_{i}, \quad i=1(1) k,  \tag{2.7}\\
\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+1}+\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left(M_{i}+M_{i+1}\right)=\frac{2}{h} I_{i+1}, \quad i=0(1) k-1 . \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

By adding (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i-1}+2 \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+1}+\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left(M_{i-1}+2 M_{i}+M_{i+1}\right)=\frac{2}{h}\left(I_{i}+I_{i+1}\right), \quad i=1(1) k-1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or
$S_{i-1}+2 S_{i}+S_{i+1}-\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left(M_{i-1}+2 M_{i}+M_{i+1}\right)=\frac{2}{h}\left(I_{i}+I_{i+1}\right), \quad i=1(1) k-1$.
If we use a notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=\alpha_{i}+S_{i}, \quad i=0(1) k, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it is easy to check that relations (2.7) and (2.8) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i-1}+d_{i}=\frac{4}{h} I_{i}, \quad i=1(1) k, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}+d_{i+1}=\frac{4}{h} I_{i+1}, \quad i=0(1) k-1, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Adding (2.12) and (2.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i-1}+2 d_{i}+d_{i+1}=\frac{4}{h}\left(I_{i}+I_{i+1}\right), i=1(1) k-1 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.14) it is clear that $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{k-1}$ are determined by solving this tridiagonal system, if $d_{0}$ and $d_{k}$ are given. However, instead of solving the tridiagonal system we can find all $d_{i}$ using the following formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=(-1)^{i} d_{0}+\frac{4}{h} \sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{i+j} I_{j}, \quad i=1(1) k \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=(-1)^{i} d_{k}+\frac{4}{h} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k}(-1)^{i+j+1} I_{j}, i=k-1, k-2, \ldots, 0, \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

that immediately followed from (2.12) and (2.13). We now want to show how $d_{0}$ and $d_{k}$ can be found. What was used to find them? To do this, we consider a useful identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i-1}+2 \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+1}=4 \alpha_{i}+h^{2} M_{i} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.17) we can rewrite (2.9) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{48}\left(M_{i-1}+14 M_{i}+M_{i+1}\right)=\frac{I_{i}+I_{i+1}}{2 h} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M_{i+1}+M_{i-1}=2 M_{i}+h\left(S_{i+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}-S_{i-0}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$, then from (2.18) it immediately follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i-1}+\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+1}=\frac{3}{2 h}\left(I_{i}+I_{i+1}\right)-\frac{h^{3}}{16}\left(S_{i+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}-S_{i-0}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right), i=1(1) k-1 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term in the right-hand side of (2.19) is small and it can be neglected. As a result, we obtain an approximate formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i-1}+\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+1}=\frac{3}{2 h}\left(I_{i}+I_{i+1}\right), i=1(1) k-1 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (2.20) for $i=1$, we obtain

$$
S_{1}+\frac{h^{2}}{6} M_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}}{3}=\frac{I_{1}+I_{2}}{2 h}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
d_{1}=\alpha_{1}+S_{1}=2 S_{1}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} M_{1}=\frac{I_{1}+I_{2}}{h}-\frac{h^{2}}{2} M_{1}
$$

in which we have used (2.3). From the last formula and (2.12) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0}=\frac{4}{h} I_{1}-d_{1}=\frac{3 I_{1}-I_{2}}{h}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} M_{1} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k}=\frac{3 I_{k}-I_{k-1}}{h}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} M_{k-1} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the quantities $d_{0}$ and $d_{k}$ are determined by formulas (2.21) and (2.22), respectively, if $M_{1}$ or $M_{k-1}$ are known. If $M_{1}=y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $M_{k-1}=y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k-1}\right)$ are not given or $y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k-1}\right)$ are not available, we can use simple formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0}=\frac{3 I_{1}-I_{2}}{h} ; \quad d_{k}=\frac{3 I_{k}-I_{k-1}}{h} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, in this case the approximation order reduces by two.
From (2.11) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i-1}+10 \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+1}=6 d_{i}, \quad i=0(1) k \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, relations (2.12) in term of $\alpha_{i}$ are rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i-2}+11 \alpha_{i-1}+11 \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+1}=\frac{24}{h} I_{i}, \quad i=1(1) k \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.25) and (2.20) with $i=1$ it follows:

$$
\alpha_{-1}+10 \alpha_{0}+10 \alpha_{1}=\frac{3}{2 h}\left(15 I_{1}-I_{2}\right)
$$

From the last equality and from (2.24) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{15 I_{1}-I_{2}}{6 h}-\frac{2}{3} d_{0}=\frac{I_{1}+I_{2}}{2 h}-\frac{h^{2}}{3} M_{1} . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k-1}=\frac{15 I_{k}-I_{k-1}}{6 h}-\frac{2}{3} d_{k} . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$ and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{k-1}$ are known the coefficients $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots, \alpha_{k-2}$ are determined from the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
10 \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=6 d_{2}-\alpha_{1}  \tag{2.28}\\
\alpha_{i-1}+10 \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+1}=6 d_{i}, \quad i=3(1) k-3 \\
\alpha_{k-3}+10 \alpha_{k-2}=6 d_{k-2}-\alpha_{k-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which follows from (2.24). After solving the system of linear equations (2.28), the remainder coefficients $\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_{0}$ and $\alpha_{k}, \alpha_{k+1}$ will be determined from (2.24) for $i=0,1$ and $i=k-1, k$, respectively. Thus, we find all the coefficients $\alpha_{i}$ of $B$-representation of integro cubic spline. The values of this spline and its first two derivatives at the knots $x_{i}$ are determined by formulas (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6). The values of integro cubic spline at any point $\bar{x} \in[a, b]$ different from knots $x_{i}$ are given by

$$
S(\bar{x})=\sum_{j=-1}^{k+1} \alpha_{j} B_{j}(\bar{x})
$$

in which the explicit formulas for $B_{i}$-splines have been used. Thus, the construction of the integro cubic spline to approximate the function $y(x)$ leads to solving the $(k-3)$ by $(k-3)$ tridiagonal linear system (2.28). As mentioned above, when we use the second derivative representation, the construction of the integro
cubic spline requires to solve the system of linear equations with a full matrix of order $(2 k+2)$ by $(2 k+2)$. The main advantage of our approach is the use of $B$-representation.

When the first derivative end conditions are given, the algorithm of construction of spline consists of two steps: first, as before, the system of equations (1.8) is solved. Once $m_{0}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ are known, we can use formula (2.5) to compute the expansion coefficients, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i-1}=2 h m_{i}, \quad i=0(1) k . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that the linear combination of Eq. (2.25) with $i=1$ and (2.29) with $i=0$ and $i=1$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}=\frac{2}{h} I_{1}+\frac{h}{6}\left(m_{0}-m_{1}\right) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from approximate formula (2.20) with $i=1$ and from (2.29) with $i=1$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}=\frac{3}{2 h}\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)-2 h m_{1} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of (2.30) and (2.31), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}=\frac{3 I_{2}-I_{1}}{2 h}-\frac{h}{6}\left(11 m_{1}+m_{0}\right),  \tag{2.32}\\
& \alpha_{1}=\frac{5 I_{1}-3 I_{2}}{2 h}+\frac{h}{3}\left(m_{0}+5 m_{1}\right) . \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

All other coefficients $\alpha_{i}$ are determined using (2.29) for $i=1(1) k$ and $\alpha_{-1}=$ $\alpha_{1}-2 h m_{0}$. Thus, when using $B$-representation of cubic splines we did not need another third end conditions, unlike using the first derivative representation in [1].

## 3. APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES OF INTEGRO CUBIC SPLINES

Now we investigate the approximation properties of integro cubic splines. First of all, we will derive some useful formulas from (1.3). We assume $y(x)$ is a six-times continuously differentiable function on interval $[a, b]$. Then, using Taylor expansion of function $y(x)$ at $x_{i-1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y(x)=y_{i-1}+y_{i-1}^{\prime}\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)+\frac{y_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}}{2}\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)^{2}+ \\
+\frac{y_{i-1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{3!}\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)^{3}+\frac{y_{i-1}^{(4)}}{4!}\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)^{4}+O\left(h^{5}\right), \quad x \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

in (1.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{i}}{h}=\sum_{k=0}^{4} \frac{y_{i-1}^{(k)}}{(k+1)!} h^{k}+O\left(h^{5}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, using the expansion of $S(x)$ at $x_{i-1}$

$$
S(x)=S_{i-1}+m_{i-1}\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)+\frac{M_{i-1}}{2}\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)^{2}+\frac{S_{i-1+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{3!}\left(x-x_{i-1}\right)^{3}
$$

in (1.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{i}}{h}=S_{i-1}+\frac{h}{2} m_{i-1}+\frac{h^{2}}{3!} M_{i-1}+\frac{h^{3}}{4!} S_{i-1+0}^{\prime \prime \prime} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{i-1+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}=S^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{i-1}+0\right)$. From (3.1) and (3.2) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{i-1}-y_{i-1}+\frac{h}{2}\left(m_{i-1}-y_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)+ & \frac{h^{2}}{3!}\left(M_{i-1}-y_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{h^{3}}{4!}\left(S_{i-1+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}-y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=O\left(h^{4}\right), i=1(1) k
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $i-1$ by $i$ in the last relation, one can rewrite it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}-y_{i}+\frac{h}{2}\left(m_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{3!}\left(M_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\frac{h^{3}}{4!}\left(S_{i+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}-y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=O\left(h^{4}\right), \quad i=0(1) k-1 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, using Taylor expansion of function $y(x)$ and $S(x)$ at $x=x_{i}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}-y_{i}-\frac{h}{2}\left(m_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{3!}\left(M_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\frac{h^{3}}{4!}\left(S_{i-0}^{\prime \prime \prime}-y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=O\left(h^{4}\right), i=1(1) k \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By adding and substracting (3.3) and (3.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}-y_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{6}\left(M_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\frac{h^{4}}{48}\left(\frac{S_{i+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}-S_{i-0}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h}\right)=O\left(h^{4}\right), i=1(1) k-1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left(\frac{S_{i+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{i-0}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{2}-y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=O\left(h^{3}\right), i=1(1) k-1 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using Taylor expansion of $y(x) \in C^{6}[a, b]$ in (1.3), one can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i} / h=y_{i}-\frac{h}{2} y_{i}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{6} y_{i}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{h^{3}}{24} y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{h^{4}}{5!} y_{i}^{I V}-\frac{h^{5}}{6!} y_{i}^{V}+O\left(h^{6}\right), \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i+1} / h=y_{i}+\frac{h}{2} y_{i}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{6} y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{h^{3}}{24} y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{h^{4}}{5!} y_{i}^{I V}+\frac{h^{5}}{6!} y_{!}^{V}+O\left(h^{6}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding and substracting (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{i}+I_{i+1}}{2 h}=y_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{3!} y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{h^{4}}{5!} y_{i}^{I V}+O\left(h^{6}\right), i=1(1) k \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{i+1}-I_{i}}{h^{2}}=y_{i}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{12} y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{h^{4}}{360} y_{i}^{V}+O\left(h^{5}\right), i=1(1) k \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to derive estimations for $S_{i}^{(r)}-y_{i}^{(r)}$, for $r=0,1,2,3$, we will use equations (2.14) and end conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=y_{1}^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{k-1}=y_{k-1}^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $d_{0}$ and $d_{k}$ are given by formulas (2.21) and (2.22), respectively, equations (2.14) can be rewritten as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 d_{1}+d_{2}=\frac{4}{h}\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)-d_{0}  \tag{3.12}\\
d_{i-1}+2 d_{i}+d_{i+1}=\frac{4}{h}\left(I_{i}+I_{i+1}\right), i=2(1) k-2 \\
d_{k-2}+2 d_{k-2}=\frac{4}{h}\left(I_{k-1}+I_{k}\right)-d_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

If we use a notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}=2\left(S_{i}-y_{i}\right)-\frac{h^{2}}{6}\left(M_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right), i=1(1) k-1 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (3.12) it immediately follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}=c_{1}  \tag{3.14}\\
\theta_{i-1}+2 \theta_{i}+\theta_{i+1}=c_{i}, i=2(1) k-2 \\
\theta_{k-2}+2 \theta_{k-1}=c_{k-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \qquad c_{1}=\frac{4}{h}\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)-d_{0}-2\left(2 y_{1}+y_{2}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{6}\left(2 y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+y_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& c_{i}=\frac{4}{h}\left(I_{i}+I_{i+1}\right)-2\left(y_{i-1}+2 y_{i}+y_{i+1}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{6}\left(y_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}+2 y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+y_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad i=2(1) k-2, \\
& c_{k-1}=\frac{4}{h}\left(I_{k-1}+I_{k}\right)-d_{k}-2\left(2 y_{k-1}+y_{k-2}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{6}\left(2 y_{k-1}^{\prime \prime}+y_{k-2}^{\prime \prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. Assume that $d_{0}$ and $d_{k}$ are given by (2.21) and (2.22), in which $M_{1}$ and $M_{k-1}$ are defined by (3.11). If $y(x) \in C^{4}[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}=O\left(h^{4}\right), i=1(1) k-1 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using Taylor expansion of function $y(x) \in C^{4}[a, b]$ at a point $x_{i}$ and (3.9), we easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}=O\left(h^{4}\right), \quad i=2(1) k-2 . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By analogy, using (3.9), (2.21), (2.22) and Taylor expansion of function $y(x)$ at the points $x_{1}$ and $x_{k-1}$, one can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=O\left(h^{4}\right), c_{k-1}=O\left(h^{4}\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the matrix of the system of linear equations (3.14) is diagonally dominant, it has a unique solution $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{k-1}\right)$. According to (3.17), (3.18), the estimation (3.16) is fulfilled.

Lemma 3.2. For $d_{i}$ we have an estimation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=2 y_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{4}\right), \quad i=o(1) k \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 and (2.11), (3.13) follows estimation (3.19) for $i=1(1) k-1$. By virtue of (2.12) we have

$$
d_{0}=\frac{4}{h} I_{1}-d_{1} .
$$

Using (3.19) and (3.7) for $i=1$ in the last equality, we get

$$
d_{0}=2 y_{0}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{4}\right)
$$

i.e., estimate (3.19) is proved for $i=0$. Analogously, using (2.12) for $i=k$ and (3.19), (3.7) for $i=k$, we obtain (3.19) for $i=k$.

Remark 3.3. More detailed analysis shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=2 y_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{h^{4}}{60} y_{i}^{I V}+O\left(h^{6}\right), i=1(1) k-1 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $y(x) \in C^{6}[a, b]$. We are now ready to prove the main result.
Theorem 3.4. Let $S(x)$ be an integro cubic spline to approximate the function $y(x) \in C^{4}[a, b]$, satisfying given conditions (1.3) and end conditions (3.11). Then, for the coefficients of $B$-representation of this spline $S(x)$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{-1}=y_{0}-h y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{3} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{4}\right),  \tag{3.21}\\
\alpha_{i}=y_{i}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{4}\right), \quad i=0(1) k, \tag{3.21a}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k+1}=y_{k}+h y_{k}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{3} y_{k}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{4}\right) \tag{3.21b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In Sec. 2 we show that the coefficients of integro cubic spline $S$ are defined by system (2.24), i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
10 \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3} & =6 d_{2}-\alpha_{1}, \\
\alpha_{i-1}+10 \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+1} & =6 d_{i}, i=3(1) k-3, \\
\alpha_{k-3}+10 \alpha_{k-2} & =6 d_{k-2}-\alpha_{k-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The right-hand side of the last system is defined by (2.15) and (2.26), (2.27). If we use a notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}=\alpha_{i}-y_{i}+\frac{h^{2}}{6} y_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \quad i=2(1) k-2 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from the last system it follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
10 \omega_{2}+\omega_{3}=z_{2}  \tag{3.23}\\
\omega_{i-1}+10 \omega_{i}+\omega_{i+1}=z_{i}, i=3(1) k-3 \\
\omega_{k-3}+10 \omega_{k-2}=z_{k-2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \qquad \begin{array}{c}
z_{2}=6 d_{2}-\alpha_{1}-10 y_{2}-y_{3}+\frac{h^{2}}{6}\left(y_{2}^{\prime \prime}+y_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
z_{i}=6 d_{i}-\left(y_{i-1}+10 y_{i}+y_{i+1}\right)+\frac{h^{2}}{6}\left(y_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}+10_{i}^{\prime \prime}+y_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}\right), i=3(1) k-3 \\
z_{k-2}=6 d_{k-2}-\alpha_{k-1}-10 y_{k-2}-y_{k-3}+\frac{h^{2}}{6}\left(y_{k-2}^{\prime \prime}+y_{k-3}^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Taylor expansion of function $y(x) \in C^{4}[a, b]$ at a point $x_{i}$ and (3.19), we get

$$
z_{i}=O\left(h^{4}\right), \quad i=3(1) k-3
$$

Analogously, using (3.19), (2.26) and (2.27), we have $z_{2}=O\left(h^{4}\right), z_{k-2}=$ $O\left(h^{4}\right)$. Since the matrix of system (3.23) is diagonally dominant and its solution is estimated by the right-hand side, that has a $O\left(h^{4}\right)$ order. Thus, we have

$$
\omega_{i}=O\left(h^{4}\right), i=2(1) k-2
$$

Therefore, from (3.22) it follows that (3.21a) for $i=2(1) k-2$. Estimation (3.21a) for $i=1$ and $i=k-1$ follows from (2.26) and (2.27), in which (3.7), (3.8) and (3.19) have been used for $i=0$ and $i=k$. Now we use (2.24) for $i=1$. We have

$$
\alpha_{0}=6 d_{1}-10 \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}=y_{0}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{4}\right),
$$

where (3.21a) has been used for $i=1$ and $i=2$. Analogously, from (2.24) for $i=k$, we have

$$
\alpha_{k}=6 d_{k-1}-10 \alpha_{k-1}-\alpha_{k-2}=y_{k}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} y_{k}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{4}\right)
$$

Thus, (3.21a) is proved for all $i=0(1) k$. Analogously, if we use (2.24) for $i=0$, and $i=k$ and (3.21a) for $i=0,1$ and $i=k-1, k$, we obtain (3.21) and (3.21b). This completes the proof.

Remark 3.5. When $y(x) \in C^{6}[a, b]$, using (3.20), as well as Eqs. (2.24), we easily obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{-1}=y_{0}-h y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{3} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{19}{720} h^{4} y_{0}^{(4)}+\frac{h^{5}}{240} y_{0}^{(5)}+O\left(h^{6}\right),  \tag{3.25}\\
\alpha_{i}=y_{i}-\frac{12}{6} y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{11}{720} h^{4} y_{i}^{I V}+O\left(h^{6}\right), \quad i=0(1) k,  \tag{3.25a}\\
\alpha_{k+1}=y_{k}+h y_{k}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{3} y_{k}^{\prime \prime}-\frac{19}{720} h^{4} y_{k}^{(4)}-\frac{h^{5}}{240} y_{k}^{(5)}+O\left(h^{6}\right) . \tag{3.25b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $S(x)$ be the integro cubic spline satisfying conditions (1.3) and end conditions (3.11). Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{i}-y_{i}=O\left(h^{4}\right), \quad i=0(1) k,  \tag{3.26}\\
m_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime}=O\left(h^{3}\right), \quad i=0(1) k,  \tag{3.27}\\
M_{i}-y_{i}^{\prime \prime}=O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad i=0(1) k,  \tag{3.28}\\
\frac{S_{i+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{i-0}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{2}-y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}=O(h), \quad i=1(1) k-1,  \tag{3.29}\\
S_{i+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}-S_{i-0}^{\prime \prime \prime}=O(h), \quad i=1(1) k-1 . \tag{3.30}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. By virtue of (2.4) and (3.21a), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{i}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\alpha_{i-1}+4 \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+1}\right) & =\frac{1}{6}\left(y_{i-1}+4 y_{i}+y_{i+1}\right)- \\
-\frac{h^{2}}{36}\left(y_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}+4 y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+y_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}\right)+O\left(h^{4}\right) & =y_{i}+O\left(h^{4}\right), \quad i=1(1) k-1
\end{aligned}
$$

in which Taylor expansion of function $y(x)$ is used. Analogously, by using formulas (3.21), (3.21a) and (3.21b) one can obtain

$$
S_{0}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\alpha_{-1}+4 \alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}\right)=
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{6}\left[y_{0}-h y_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{3} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}+4\left(y_{0}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)+y_{1}-\frac{h^{2}}{6} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]+O\left(h^{4}\right)=y_{0}+O\left(h^{4}\right)
$$

and

$$
S_{k}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\alpha_{k-1}+4 \alpha_{k}+\alpha_{k-1}\right)=y_{k}+O\left(h^{4}\right)
$$

respectively. This means that (3.26) holds for all $i, i=0(1) k$. From (2.6) and (3.21a) it follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{i}=\frac{\alpha_{i+1}-2 \alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i-1}}{h^{2}}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[y_{i-1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i+1}-\right. \\
\left.-\frac{h^{2}}{6}\left(y_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}-2 y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+y_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]+O\left(h^{2}\right)=y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad i=1(1) k-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using (3.20), (3.21a) and (3.21b), it is easy to verify estimation (3.28) for $i=0$ and $i=k$. Now we consider $\frac{S_{i+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{i-0}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{2}$. Using (3.26), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{S_{i+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+S_{i-0}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{2 h}=\frac{M_{i+1}-M_{i-1}}{2 h}=\frac{1}{2 h}\left(y_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}-y_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}\right)+O(h)= \\
=\frac{1}{2 h}\left(y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+h y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}-y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+h y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)+O(h)=y_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}+O(h), \quad i=1(1) k-1,
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e., estimation (3.29) is proven. Analogously, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{i+0}^{\prime \prime \prime}-S_{i-0}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{M_{i+1}-2 M_{i}+M_{i-1}}{h}= \\
=\frac{y_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}-2 y_{i}^{\prime \prime}+y_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}}{h}+O(h)=O(h), \quad i=1(1) k-1
\end{gathered}
$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.6.

## 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, we present results of the numerical experiment to illustrate the approximation properties of the integro cubic splines. Suppose that $y(x) \in C^{4}[0,1]$ and satisfies the end condition $M_{1}=y^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)$, we consider the following test functions:

$$
y_{1}(x)=x^{4}, \quad y_{2}(x)=\cos (\pi x)
$$

The results are shown in the table.



 0.3 3.50E-06 $2.08 \mathrm{E}-0711.30 \mathrm{E}-08$ 8.33E-06 $1.07 \mathrm{E}-096.94 \mathrm{E}-16 \mid 1.98 \mathrm{E}-025.00 \mathrm{E}-031.25 \mathrm{E}-03$
 $0.53 .34 \mathrm{E}-062.08 \mathrm{E}-071.30 \mathrm{E}-081.11 \mathrm{E}-16|2.55 \mathrm{E}-15| 1.89 \mathrm{E}-14|2.00 \mathrm{E}-025.00 \mathrm{E}-03| 1.25 \mathrm{E}-03$ $0.63 .32 \mathrm{E}-06$ 2.08E-07 $1.30 \mathrm{E}-08$ 8.33E-07 $1.10 \mathrm{E}-115.55 \mathrm{E}-15$ 2.00E-02 $5.00 \mathrm{E}-031.25 \mathrm{E}-03$ $0.73 .50 \mathrm{E}-062.08 \mathrm{E}-07|1.30 \mathrm{E}-08| 8.33 \mathrm{E}-06|1.07 \mathrm{E}-094.91 \mathrm{E}-14| 1.98 \mathrm{E}-02 \mid 5.00 \mathrm{E}-031.25 \mathrm{E}-03$
 $0.92 .00 \mathrm{E}-051.03 \mathrm{E}-07|1.30 \mathrm{E}-08| 8.17 \mathrm{E}-04 \mid 1.03 \mathrm{E}-05$ 1.32E-08 $3.93 \mathrm{E}-13$ 5.51E-03 $1.25 \mathrm{E}-03$


|  |  | $S_{j}-y_{2,}$ |  |  | $m_{j}-y_{2,}^{\prime}$ |  |  | $M_{j}-y^{\prime}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{i}$ | $k=10$ | $k=20$ | $k=40$ | $k=10$ | $k=20$ | $k=40$ | $k=$ | $k=20$ | $k$ |


| 0 | $6.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.05 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.11 \mathrm{E}-024.05 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $5.11 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.44 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.19 \mathrm{E}-015.51 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | $0.17 .70 \mathrm{E}-05$ 3.97E-07 $5.02 \mathrm{E}-083.10 \mathrm{E}-03 \mid 4.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ 2.07E-07 $2.31 \mathrm{E}-142.14 \mathrm{E}-024.83 \mathrm{E}-03$ $0.25 .33 \mathrm{E}-066.94 \mathrm{E}-074.30 \mathrm{E}-083.93 \mathrm{E}-045.11 \mathrm{E}-062.93 \mathrm{E}-077^{7} .44 \mathrm{E}-021.65 \mathrm{E}-024.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ $0.38 .41 \mathrm{E}-065.09 \mathrm{E}-073^{3} .13 \mathrm{E}-08$ 7.15E-05 6.46E-064.03E-07 $4.66 \mathrm{E}-02$ 1.20E-02 $2.99 \mathrm{E}-03$ $0.44 .74 \mathrm{E}-062_{2} .71 \mathrm{E}-071.65 \mathrm{E}-081.25 \mathrm{E}-047.59 \mathrm{E}-064.74 \mathrm{E}-07|2.59 \mathrm{E}-026.32 \mathrm{E}-03| 1.57 \mathrm{E}-03$ $0.54 .80 \mathrm{E}-077.74 \mathrm{E}-091.22 \mathrm{E}-101.27 \mathrm{E}-047.98 \mathrm{E}-064.98 \mathrm{E}-075^{5} .76 \mathrm{E}-043.72 \mathrm{E}-05 \quad 2.34 \mathrm{E}-06$ $0.63 .78 \mathrm{E}-062.56 \mathrm{E}-071.62 \mathrm{E}-081.25 \mathrm{E}-047.59 \mathrm{E}-064.74 \mathrm{E}-07{ }^{2} .47 \mathrm{E}-026.24 \mathrm{E}-03 \mid 1.57 \mathrm{E}-03$ $0.79 .37 \mathrm{E}-064.94 \mathrm{E}-07{ }^{2} .10 \mathrm{E}-08$ 7.13E-05 6.46E-06 4.03E-07 $4.77 \mathrm{E}-021.19 \mathrm{E}-022^{2} .98 \mathrm{E}-03$ $0.84 .34 \mathrm{E}-06$ 6.78E-07 $4.27 \mathrm{E}-08$ 3.94E-04 $5.11 \mathrm{E}-062.93 \mathrm{E}-077^{7} .32 \mathrm{E}-02$ 1.64E-02 $4.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ $0.97 .83 \mathrm{E}-05$ 3.81E-07 $5.00 \mathrm{E}-083.12 \mathrm{E}-034.38 \mathrm{E}-052.07 \mathrm{E}-077^{7} .68 \mathrm{E}-04 \mid 2.13 \mathrm{E}-024.83 \mathrm{E}-03$


As shown in the table, the approximation properties of integro cubic splines were confirmed by numerical experiments.

Acknowledgements. This research was partially supported by the German research foundation (DFG).

## REFERENCES

1. Behforooz H. // Appl. Math. Comput. 2006. V. 175. P. 8-15.
2. Zav'yalov Yu. S., Kvasov B. I., Miroschnichenko V. L. Spline Function Methods. M., 1980 (in Russian).
3. Zhanlav T. B-Representation of Cubic Interfolating Splines // Vychislitel'nye Sistemy. Novosibirsk, 1981. No. 87. P. 3-10 (in Russian).

Received on April 30, 2008.

## Редактор В. В. Булатова

Подписано в печать 18.06.2008.
Формат $60 \times 90 / 16$. Бумага офсетная. Печать офсетная.
Усл. печ. л. $1,06$. Уч.-изд. л. 1,37 . Тираж 310 экз. Заказ № 56203.
Издательский отдел Объединенного института ядерных исследований 141980 , г. Дубна, Московская обл., ул. Жолио-Кюри, 6.

E-mail: publish@jinr.ru
www.jinr.ru/publish/


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ National University of Mongolia, Ulan Bator, Mongolia
    ${ }^{2}$ Mongolian State University of Education, Ulan Bator, Mongolia

